



Mar Vista Community Council



SUPPLEMENTAL MEETING MATERIALS

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Tuesday, March 12th, 2019, at 7:00pm

Mar Vista Recreation Center Auditorium
11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066

Table of Contents

9.	Reading and Approval of minutes	3
11.5.	Monthly Expenditure Report – Approval of the Monthly Expenditure Report for January, 2019.....	12
11.6.	Revised FY2018 – 2019 Budget	14
13.1.	Stakeholder Petition Regarding Venice Blvd.	16
13.2.	Independent Traffic Study	17
13.3.	ADA Evaluation of Venice Blvd.	18
14.1.	Potential impacts of SB50 (CF#18-1226)	18
14.2.	Support of resolution protecting buildings considered for historical designation (CF# 16-0988-S1) ..	19
14.3.	Opposition to Rose and Sepulveda traffic signal	20

9. Reading and Approval of Minutes



Mar Vista Community Council



AGENDA & MINUTES

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Tuesday, January 8th, 2019, at 7:00pm

Mar Vista Recreation Center Auditorium
11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066

1. Call to order

Meeting called to order at 7:08pm.

2. Presentation of Flag and Pledge of Allegiance

Mary Hruska led the Pledge.

3. Roll Call – Call of the roll and certification of a quorum

Present: Holly Tilson, Nanxi Liu, Sara Roos, Elliot Hanna, Mary Hruska, Rob Kadota, Stacy Shure, Heather Kamins, Susan Klos, Robin Doyno (Quorum met)

Absent: Ken Alpern (arrived at 7:27pm), Michelle Krupkin (arrived at 7:11pm), Aaron Elster

4. Community Memorial Observations

~ none

5. Announcements

~ none

6. Public Comment for Items NOT on This Agenda

Damien Newton: Here to talk about Thursday/Monday; there will be a teacher's strike. The most obvious way to support it is to go to a picket line. Call the school office to request the contact information of the Union rep; or go to the picket line directly. Teachers will be denied access to school facilities, which is typical of any strike; call and offer your help – there's a lot that folks can do. For example there will be a self-run coop for Richland families, and others north of National. These plans are replicable; ask.

Hard to get attention but if anyone wishes to know more he'll help. He has information for waivers, and other ways to support public education school teachers.

Eliander Gonzalez: Launched a NFP in Elysian Valley. Concerned with the impact of high end luxury developments; he proposes building ADUs (Accessory Dwelling Units) through this NFP in conjunction with Genesis LA (another NFP). General contractor will be Restore Neighborhood LA. Also teaming up with St. Joseph, and LA County housing in Sacramento Valley. They offer landlord training and information on tenants' rights. They offer low-cost design services and access to financing, though the pilot for financing closes January 15, 2019. Those with their own financing are welcome at any time.

LAC is (was) giving out \$75K. This initiative is conducted through CoLA's innovation team in the Housing Office; it is the first project coming out of this Office. In conjunction with Great Streets LA.

Krupkin: What other Great Streets are involved?. Ans: Reseda, Northridge (half-half between Lower and Third), Wilmington (small business support program), Avalon.

Created were: Bus shelters with shading; Dining table with shade. A District was created through plantings and Palm Trees.

¿How was this funded? Ans: Through Great Streets; half-half via CD4 and CD10. Others initiatives are temporary; Northridge, for example, was temporary only.

Kalani Whittington: Calls for more common sense in approaching social problems. Tells of older shopper whose offer of change to a "gang" of older people was refused because it was insufficient to purchase a hamburger. A former PNC member out walking a dog was attacked by a homeless person. The proliferation of homeless encampments is overwhelming; people have boo'd Mayor Garcetti showing they have had enough. Common sense has been replaced by too much empathy.

Mar Vista is bearing the burden of too many homeless encampments; Koretz' CD5 has fewer. Too much money is being spent on appealing housing rather than minimal shelter. Not everyone can afford the City; land is ample in Palmdale.

Selena Inouye: Concerned about Committees not meeting, in particular: Elections/bylaws, Outreach. Monthly committee attendance, posted agendas, minutes are all Board responsibilities. And community participation is hard to encourage without regular scheduling.

Hanna: shares these concerns and notes the Chairs of the mentioned committees have now scheduled meetings.

Robin Doyno: Homeless Count will start in Mar Vista on Wednesday, Jan 23. 20 more people than last year (80 for 2019) are being requested to help. There will be phenomenal snacks. Sign up through lahsa.com.

Marty Rubin: Agrees with the concerns regarding MVCC functionality. Considers homelessness a local problem that should be addressed nationally by the Federal Government.

7. Ex-Parte Communications and Conflicts-of-Interest - Each board member shall declare any ex-parte communications or conflicts-of-interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.

Hanna: Please indicate any communications that have occurred outside of the public view.

Alpern -preparations for T/I Cmte Mtg		Doyno -communications re board members opting out of paper handouts.		Elster -absent	
Hanna - Discussed items 14.1, 14.2 and all under 15 with a couple board members only for the purpose of ascertaining intent of items to be agendized			Hruska - Conversations with board members around the Community Plan		Kadota - none
Kamins - none	Klos - none	Krupkin - Discussion of agenda items; Venice Blvd at VNC parking/ transportation cmte mtg. Conversation regarding storage unit burglary.			Liu - none
Roos - none	Shure - Communications with Kroger Corporate Office, Ralph's shopping center's landlord about being an "angel lot". Kroger's enthusiastic. Attended WRAC-PLUM, meetings with Planning Department personnel.			Tilson - board member communications about agendized items.	

8. Adoption of the Agenda

Adopted without objection at 7:34pm

9. Reading and Approval of Minutes – Reading and approval of the minutes from the most recent regular meeting of the Board of Directors.

Motion (Hanna/Krupkin) to move to end of the meeting the reading and adoption of the 12/11/18 minutes **passes** with 2/3 vote of 8/4 (unkn)/1 (Hanna) at 7:38pm

10. Reports

10.1. Elected Official and City Department Reports

CD11 Field Deputy Hannah Levien: City Council returns to session on January 15, 2019; legislative updates will resume at that time.

Update on 405 encampment: Plice are there now, and will be on a weekly basis. Sanitation will drop off orange bins in the summer. Many conversations with HIC have resulted in this system which will be more effective than is happening currently.

Traffic control. Turn signal at Sawtelle/Palms is funded, with the help of Windward. Turn signal at Venice/Wade is completed. More stop signs at Charnock/Victoria [? These are parallel streets]. Speed hump program starts next month. Continental crosswalks to be installed on Centinela south of Venice.

Street applications for improvements are due at the end of January. Not just bike corrals, but artist's murals, street furniture, LA-MAS (Gonzalez' public comment above) – type propositions.

Krupkin: Why is the left signal at Venice/Wade unusual; it's a stick, not on a pole. Ans: will be asked of Alek.

What is the funding source for the string of lights between Centinela and Grand View; are they permanent? Ans: Great Streets, installation length TBD.

Tilson: Left-turn signal on wade should mitigate safety but what will relieve the traffic along Wade? Cars are side-swiped; the street is too narrow for two lanes. Ans: First the intersection is being addressed, next steps next.

Hanna: Traffic concerns can be addressed to Albert.Olson@marvista.org, Chair of Traffic Liaison committee.

Alpern: Attention and clean up efforts are transient; what is the long-term plan, what to tell constituents? Ans: CoLA model is "Housing First". This is the only way out from under lawsuits, and the venue for reaching those in need of services. But the City cannot force people to go somewhere; folks have been unhoused a long while and there are many reasons and different motivations and types of people underlying the problem. Cleanups simply force ADA compliance; supportive housing and services provision is harder. The City is trying.

Shure: Will a lane be designated for dedicated right turns from Palms northbound on Sawtelle? Will traffic signals at Palms/Sawtelle be coordinated with Palms/Sepulveda? Ans:will check with Mo/Rudy at LADOT.

Ami Fields-Meyer: See below agenda item 11.4

DONE representative Vanessa Serrano: DONE fliers are available for distribution among local organizations interested in the NC system. It is helpful to identify locations to recruit the interested (e.g. library). Empowerment 2.0 for young women seeks to empower youth through the NC system. For West Region 11 these workshops are held successive Saturdays, starting February 16, 2019, 1-3pm.

Election Date is June 2 2019. Filing period lasts 30 days from February 17 - March 19, 2019. There is time yet for a "NC 101" presentation through Faith-based organizations, schools, NFP to interest people and recruit them to apply.

10.2. Officer Reports

10.2.1. Chair – Elliot Hanna

Committees behind with minutes will not have motions heard at the full Council.

10.2.2. 1st Vice-Chair – Rob Kadota

Cataloguing the storage unit burglary; more items have turned up missing. Communications are between City, DONE, insurance, LAPD, Chair.

10.2.3. 2nd Vice-Chair – Mary Hruska

Working with Committees on permanent meeting venues.

10.2.4. Secretary – Sara Roos

Read statement (disallowed by Chair) concerning lack of Council communications, cooperation, cohesion, respect; desire to step away from position if there is no accountability or improvement.

10.2.5. Treasurer – Holly Tilson

Still working on the funding of neighborhood watch signs.

10.3. Zone Director Reports

10.3.1. Zone 1 – Ken Alpern

Big projects: It's unknown what will go in at Palms/Sepulveda. Google will take over Westside Pavillion.

10.3.2. Zone 2 – Heather Kamins

Learning the new Zone, meeting with stakeholders.

10.3.3. Zone 3 – Mary Hruska

Nothing new to report.

10.3.4. Zone 4 – Aaron Elster

~ absent

10.3.5. Zone 5 – Michelle Krupkin

The former 99-cent store is being taken over by Little Fatty and Accomplice. Louie's will be occupied by a German gastro pub soon [per Levien]. Some business owners inquired about the light string along Venice Blvd's median. Crime and carport burglaries are of ongoing concern.

10.3.6. Zone 6 – Holly Tilson

Issues of concern remain: crime, tire slashings, cars "keyed", break-ins, traffic. SLO Acosta is scheduling a meeting with WMVRA. The proposed supportive housing at Venice/Beethoven is of concern – will the building become four stories? When will the community learn more of the process?

10.4. Committee Reports

10.4.1. Elections and Bylaws

~ none

10.4.2. Community Outreach

Meetings will resume this month.

10.4.3. Transportation and Infrastructure

February's T/I and Community Plan meeting will be combined; hopefully with enough time for both on the same evening.

Great Streets may shift February's schedule to accommodate the Bird rep. Item 15.3 below is a report-back of requested data.

10.4.4. Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM)

January's meeting was cancelled because downtown PLUM cancelled their last three meetings and there is no new news on the Policies/Procedures resolution.

Considering the process and format for submitting Community Plan "input".

10.4.5. Public Health and Safety

December's were abbreviated meetings. Ciclavia will return Sunday, March 3, 2109: CC meets MV and Palms – get your bike to the Farmer's Market where Mike will tune it up.

Emergency and Public Safety committee meetings will merge; MV Mobility will remain separate. More can be accomplished with fewer meetings and resulting concentrated participation.

10.4.6. Education, Arts, and Culture

Friday's informational meeting is cancelled.

Krupkin: one painted utility box sports a curse word. Akbay will coordinate with Great Streets in rebranding the boxes to say "MVCC".

Levien: Augmentation of the utility boxes by their designated original artist are permitted. The conversation about art and utility boxes will continue with EACC, Great Streets and also Art Walk. The public art is exciting but issues of "standards" are not being ignored.

11. Special Orders –

11.1. Approval of Monthly Expense Report (MER) – Discussion and possible action regarding the MER for November, 2018.

November's MER was approved via Treasurer's report as the official MER was not available in time. The numbers are hand-transcribed from DONE's dashboard and are not automatically populated, but differ only in a slight lag period which is inconsequential.

*Motion (Roos/Krupkin) to approve the Treasurer's excellent bookkeeping for both November, 2018 and December, 2018 **passes** 11/0/1 (Hanna)*

11.2. Approval of Monthly Expense Report (MER) – Discussion and possible action regarding the MER for December, 2018.

See above.

11.3. Presentation from Bryce Fluellen from LADOT - Presentation regarding the City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation's Microtransit Program.

Fluellen is replaced by Ms Echeverria with DOT.

"LA Now" is a new "microtransit" service for Palms-MV-Venice-Del Rey. Partnering with NFP "Motive", it will launch March 4, 2019 with free rides until March 31 to create ridership and get awareness out. 6 buses will operate M-F during peak hours, 6am-7pm. Buses are ADA compliant with 2 wheelchair accessible seats of 14 total. Fare will be \$1.50 for adults \$0.75 for students/children and seniors.

Rides are reserved online like ride sharing services Uber/Lyft, or also via phone using a debit or credit card. A debit card will be available for those without any banking service. There are three permanent stops within the operational footprint: Expo-Palms, Abbot Kinney, MV Gardens. There are 37 "virtual" stops. A rider is directed to the nearest of these for pickup.

Hanna: Disabled persons may find the pickup points too inaccessible or distant. The hours are limited.

Paratransit service is still offered for the population unable to use pickup spots.

This pilot will determine popularity and ridership needs. Also safety of pickup spot.

Krupkin: Given the mixture of permanent stops and also virtual ones, how can rider judge timing?

App will manage and limit things, with estimate of exact dropoff time calculated.

Krupkin: Additional Expo stops are encouraged – Bundy and/or Sepulveda.

The stops must be in LA, not CC or SM – Sepulveda could therefore work.

Alpern: Better connectivity to Expo has been a problem for the last ten years. The map of virtual stops seems to exclude MV.

Virtual stops were generated from trip and pedestrian patterns. These potential stops are temporary; they can be altered as needs become clearer in reality. There is no fixed route.

Tilson: Grand View and Inglewood stops along Venice Boulevard would reflect common destinations of the Post Office and Library.

The app will record popularity and generate feedback to inform better decisions regarding stop locations.

Alpern: Zone Directors must help get the work out about this service and its app.

Shure: What about folks adjacent to service area?

They will be directed to a virtual stop within the service boundary.

Tilson: Where are drop off points? Ans: anywhere.

Kalani Whittington: Not sure this cost is enticing enough to "leave the tank at home".

- 11.4. Presentation from Ami Fields-Meyer, Office of Mayor Eric Garcetti** – Mr. Ami Fields-Meyer serves as Mayor Garcetti's representative in West Los Angeles. Prior to joining the Mayor's office, Ami served as Communications Director for Assemblyman Jesse Gabriel and completed the Coro Fellowship in Public Affairs. He has written about issues of public policy, religion, and civil rights for The Atlantic, Talking Points Memo, and The Forward.

Presented as past of agenda item 10.1 at 7:57pm

Daniel Tam has moved to LADWP; Mr. Fields-Meyer is now in the second month as Mayor Garcetti's West representative. This area incorporates all of CD11 and the non-Valley portion of CD5. Traffic is an issue of foremost importance everywhere.

Mayor and CoLA have launched the "Shake Alert" app for notification of EQs after-the-fact. This is NOT an early-warning, prediction utility.

There is a new office within the Mayor's called "MOCHA", a City initiative for homelessness run by the new Deputy Mayor, Kristina Miller.

Happy to meet with anyone individually about matters of concern.

Krupkin: Looking forward to communication with Great Streets.

Alpern: The Mayor is Far Away, so better communications is appreciated.

Tilson: It would be helpful to hear the Mayor's plan on homelessness perhaps via a Town Hall.

Happy to present to neighbors.

Kadota: WRAC has considered such an event for all WLA

Hruska: Welcome, and please attend as many BoD meetings as possible!

- 12. Consent Calendar** – The Consent Calendar is reserved for items deemed to be routine and non-controversial. Any board member may pull an item or items for further discussion.

- 13. Excluded Consent Items** – Discussion and further action on items excluded from the Consent Calendar.

14. Unfinished Business and General Orders –

- 14.1. City of Los Angeles' Sidewalk Vending Program** – Discussion and possible action regarding the restoration of the "opt-out" clause in the City of Los Angeles' sidewalk vending program (*postponed from 12/11/2018 BoD meeting*).

Introduction: Hruska. This motion was postponed from the November, 11, 2018 meeting. In April, 2018 CoLA approved a sidewalk vending program that removed the criminality associated with vending and regulated the practice. The original motion included an "opt out" clause but was changed to incorporate an "alert" and petition/appeal system. The motion requests restoration of the original "opt out" concept, but locality isn't specified.

Kamins: requests the original language.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Kalani Whittington: Recognizes the good work of westside councilmen Bonin and Koretz, and acknowledges the override by eastside council members. Currently a company is encouraging illegal street vending on Sawtelle and Sepulveda by trucking in supplies, creating miniature restaurants. We would support our brick/mortar businesses by prohibiting this activity.

BOARD COMMENT:

Motion (Hruska/Alpern) to approve.

Liu: Are specific streets involved? Ans: The "opt out" would potentially apply only to areas where street vending was not otherwise specifically excluded.

Levien: The opt out clause did not pass. Currently there are no plans to reintroduce it. The measure will be reconsidered in a year. If it does come back it will likely look something like a signed petition that shows available frontage.

Shure: This is moot?

Motion (Shure/Roos) to postpone indefinitely **passes** without objection at 9:04pm

- 14.2. Zone Director Input for Community Plan** – Discussion and possible action regarding engaging Zone Directors in the process of growing stakeholder participation in updating the City of L.A.'s Community Plan for Palms-Mar Vista-Del Rey (*postponed from 12/11/2018 BoD meeting*).

Co-chairs Shure and Hruska are setting up meetings with Zone Directors to address two fliers in [meeting packet](#). Please email availability to assist in scheduling.

Community input must be submitted to DCP by early March.

Kadota: a variety of voices, not just those of Zone Directors, is optimal – attendance at these meetings from one and all is encouraged! Neighborhood associations, for example, block captains.

15. New Business –

- 15.1. Stakeholder Petition Regarding Venice Blvd.** – Discussion and possible action regarding a stakeholder petition pertaining to the "Venice Blvd. Great Street."

Introduction: See [Meeting Packet](#), Selena Inouye. MVCC bylaws require just 10 signatures in order to submit a motion. The last such petition was submitted in November, 2018, heard in December 2018. There is no requirement for "different" people to sign [from former petitions?]. Objections to this policy must be addressed via changing the bylaws.

This motion is about process at its core. The process that initially was laid out is not what's happening today; the collaboration proposed in 2014 has fallen apart. The Mayor's representative has not attended Great Streets ad hoc Cmte meetings. When cm Bonin released a video on Sept 20, that shouldn't have been the first notice Mar Vista had of what he was going to say; there should have been advance discussion.

This motion is very similar to what passed at LANC last Saturday [1/5/19]. If there are going to be road diets (RDs) in place, NC need to be part of a planning and implementation process. We do not know how decisions were made regarding the Venice Boulevard pilot project.

It might be sensible to agendize this motion together with discussions at the upcoming scheduled meeting between CD11 representatives and Hanna and Kadota.

Hanna: Would it be acceptable to agendize this motion for February instead? Note that special meetings are hard to schedule.

Innoye: There's a difference between reporting and discussion; a working group can bring expertise to the discussion. So this motion requests something different...

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Kalani Whittington: The lines are still visible on Highland Avenue in Manhattan Beach/Marina from the removed Great Streets Project there. Residents of these affluent communities were able to quickly reverse the road reconfiguration, though at great cost. Mar Vista continues to endure the divisiveness which was supposed to have been just one year in duration.

Krupkin: Clarifies that the Marina Del Rey project was not a Great Streets project.

Sheri Odere: Expresses frustration with the video release date right before the holidays when people are busy. It feels like a deliberate attempt to avoid attention. But neighbors are discontented, fighting, trapped by immobile traffic, increased crime, multiple accidents; it feels like the council member is shoving through this project without listening to the people who live with it.

BOARD COMMENT:

Hanna: approves of "accountability" and yet notes that a letter was just sent from MVCC to the council office. It's not productive to inundate anyone without an opportunity to respond to the first letter. The frustration is understandable but the Council should behave as it would have others treat us. Another such motion in the future might be reasonable but first, time should be reserved for a proper response.

Kamins: A Town Hall would be a good solution and provide facts and data and allow expression of actual concerns. There is sympathy for the feelings but considers it sensible to hold off awaiting a response.

Kadota: agendizing for the following month makes sense.

Hruska: Thinks this request needs to be sent as well but is willing to wait until after the meeting with CD11

Roos: It seems expedient to fold together these discussions.

Krupkin: CD11 released this video five days before Christmas, without raw data, no analysis, no outreach for feedback; just an "everything-is-wonderful" video. The upcoming meeting is encouraging, but this request is for a direct comparative analysis and the community's request for this has not been acknowledged.

Alpern: Timing rather than the content of the motion is what is of concern, apparently. But the release of this video appeared to be in response to MVCC's previous motion. A two-way discussion has not occurred.

Tilson: What's the likelihood of a Town Hall still? When will we know? Is this impending scheduled meeting a substitution? Stakeholders would respect a delay in this motion, however, under the circumstances.

Hanna: The meeting is to advocate the Council's position, but what will be offered is unknown.

Motion (Hruska/Kamins): call a special meeting to address the outcome of the imminent CD11 meeting and this motion exclusively.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Inouye: The proposed independent traffic study should be included in discussion as well.

Hanna: A weeknight would be preferable but perhaps approximately Saturday, 1/26/19 – dependent on venue.

*Motion **passes** (10/1 (Kadota) /1(Hanna) [**Klos departed at 9:10**, absent from this vote]*

15.2. Storage Unit Burglary – Discussion and possible action regarding the January 2, 2019 burglary at the MVCC storage unit located at Storquest on Sawtelle Blvd. in Mar Vista.

The MVCC storage unit on Sepulveda beneath the freeway interchanged was burgled from a passageway inside the unit's building. Audio equipment was stolen; see [meeting packet](#). Initial police report estimated \$1500 in loss but a more thorough inventory is forthcoming. Replacement costs are estimated at over \$3,000

Kadota: large ticket items include Honda generator, Apple ipad, Mevo. We are short on microphones – large ticket items, Honda generator. Apple ipad and mevo. They are lost. We can scrape by but we're short microphones, they took our large roller box of eqpt which they used to carry stuff out. We can buy low cost alternatives, might be easier ... so there are replacement options.

Elliot to Serrano – from insurance, city is beneficiary of the insurance. Is the city going to give us the money? Will replacement have to come from regular budget?

15.3. Independent Traffic Study (Great Streets) – Discussion and possible action regarding an item - requesting a community panel and an independent traffic study of the Venice Boulevard Great Street project - amended at the 11/13/2018 BoD meeting and committed to the Great Streets Subcommittee.

Postponed by adjournment to 2/12/19

16. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 9:33pm

Bold, underlined text indicates further details available in supplemental materials at meeting.

* **PUBLIC INPUT AT NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL MEETINGS** – The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any agenda item before the Board takes an action on an item. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to 3 minutes per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Board.

* **PUBLIC POSTING OF AGENDAS** - MVCC agendas are posted for public review at Mar Vista Recreation Center, 11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066
Subscribe to our agendas via email through L.A. City's Early Notification System at <http://www.lacity.org/subscriptions> or via at our website, <http://www.marvista.org>

* **THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT** - As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services and activities, including sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices and other auxiliary aids and/or services. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting chair@marvista.org.

* **SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION** - Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte a chair@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

* **PUBLIC ACCESS OF RECORDS** – In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website, <http://www.marvista.org>, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact secretary@marvista.org.

* **RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE PROCESS** - For information on MVCC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the MVCC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website, <http://www.marvista.org>.

11.5. [ADMINISTRATIVE][Executive and Finance] Monthly Expenditure Report – Approval of the Monthly Expenditure Report for January, 2019

Monthly Expenditure Report



Reporting Month: February 2019 Budget Fiscal Year: 2018-2019

NC Name: Mar Vista Neighborhood Council

Monthly Cash Reconciliation					
Beginning Balance	Total Spent	Remaining Balance	Outstanding	Commitments	Net Available
\$25343.02	\$648.77	\$24694.25	\$331.45	\$0.00	\$24362.80

Monthly Cash Flow Analysis					
Budget Category	Adopted Budget	Total Spent this Month	Unspent Budget Balance	Outstanding	Net Available
Office	\$36000.00	\$488.27	\$19694.25	\$156.26	\$19362.80
Outreach		\$160.50		\$175.19	
Elections		\$0.00		\$0.00	
Community Improvement Project	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Neighborhood Purpose Grants	\$6000.00	\$0.00	\$5000.00	\$0.00	\$5000.00
Funding Requests Under Review: \$0.00		Encumbrances: \$0.00		Previous Expenditures: \$16656.98	

Expenditures						
#	Vendor	Date	Description	Budget Category	Sub-category	Total
1	STAPLES 00114272	02/02/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$5.21
2	USPS PO 0545430066	02/09/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$162.00
3	STAPLES 00114272	02/10/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$45.50
4	AT&T S866 5706	02/22/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$192.87
5	STAPLES 00114272	02/23/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$5.69
6	MAILCHIMP MONTHLY	02/26/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$27.00
7	THE WEB CORNER	02/04/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Outreach	\$10.50
8	THE WEB CORNER	02/04/2019	(Credit card transaction)	General Operations Expenditure	Outreach	\$150.00

9	Saint Andrew's Lutheran Church	01/24/2019	Funding Motion: The MVCC appropriates \$6...	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$50.00
Subtotal:						\$648.77

Outstanding Expenditures						
#	Vendor	Date	Description	Budget Category	Sub-category	Total
1	Westsidevineyard	01/08/2019	Board Motion / Community Benefit Statement&#...	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$100.00
2	Mar Vista Farmers' Market	01/18/2019	Funding Motion: The Mar Vista Community Counc...	General Operations Expenditure	Outreach	\$175.19
3	Elliot Hanna	02/22/2019	This is a board reimbursement for printing expense...	General Operations Expenditure	Office	\$56.26
Subtotal: Outstanding						\$331.45

11.6. [ADMINISTRATIVE] [Executive and Finance] Revised FY2018 – 2019 Budget – Approval of the revised budget for FY2018 – 2019.

Draft Budget Revisions 2018-2019 MVCC Budget Proposal			Total grant from CoLA	Regular Monthly charge	Specific monthly expenditures												3/7/19
Budget Category/YTD	Budget Subcategory	Subcategory type	Vendor	\$42,000.00	July	Aug	Sept	ytd	Oct	Nov	Dec	ytd	Jan	Feb			
General/Operational	General/Operational	Subtotal		\$35,158.20													
	Office		Office subtotal:	\$11,718.78	\$786.00												
fixed		Storage															
\$4,149.00			Storquest	\$5,532.00	\$461.00	\$461.00	\$922.00	\$461.00	\$461.00	\$461.00	\$922.00	\$461.00	\$461.00	\$0.00			
fixed		Meeting rooms rental															
\$175.00			The Vineyard	\$600.00	\$50.00		\$75.00										
\$250.00			St Andrew's Lutheran Church	\$600.00	\$50.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$50.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$50.00			
\$0.00			St Bede's, 2 @ 75	\$150.00													
\$0.00			Windward School	\$5.00	\$5.00												
\$162.00			PO Box rental	\$162.00										\$162.00			
\$0.00			Farmer's Market rental	\$1,200.00													
\$75.00			(outstanding) rental fees** (1/6+4/17)	\$225.00			\$75.00										
		Equip & supplies (misc)															
\$84.91			misc	\$470.00		\$16.38	30.65		37.88								
		Mtg supplies & printing															
\$818.22			printing	\$1,200.00		\$4.75	34.35		\$579.36	\$53.83	\$19.47		\$20.06	\$56.40			
\$86.49			Exp/In/board reimbursements	\$300.00					\$86.49								
\$124.78			Business cards/name badges	\$124.78							\$124.78						
\$0.00			retreats \$75ea (7/15)	\$150.00													
\$186.62		Minute taker*		\$1,000.00	\$220.00	\$186.62											
	Outreach		Outreach subtotal:	\$15,839.42	\$187.50												
		Website															
1200			The Web Corner: website maintenance	1800	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150			
189			The Web Corner: emails	231	10.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	10.5	10.5	10.5			
			The Web Corner: Extra Data Entry	500													
		Email Service															
211.5			Mail chimp messaging service	324	\$27.00	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00	\$27.00			
		MIFI Service															
\$766.11			AT&T MIFI service	\$766.11		\$95.54	\$95.54	\$95.54	\$95.54	\$95.54	\$95.54	\$0.00	\$192.87				
		Advertising															
			Banners/sign/event promotion	0													
130.09			Outreach misc	400			73.74		56.35								
0			Traffic lawn signs	1200													
		Community Events															
937.12			Block parties (6 4 X \$300)	1200			537.12		300								
0			Town halls	500													
995.28			Art Walk	2000			494.28				170.51		329.49				
316.86			Venice High Grease Night	316.86					316.86								
		MVCC events/outreach items/mailings/newsletter															
4290.71			Fall newsletter	4290.71			2526.71		1763								
560.74			(outstanding) FM awning/repair	560.74			385.55						-175.19				
0			Homeless Issues Brochures	750													
0			Bike Rodeo/swap/refreshments/etc	1000													
		Elections	Elections subtotal:	7600	\$0.00												
increased from 2300		Election expenses		3100													
			spring newsletter	4500													
	Block Grants	Block Grants Subtotal		\$6,845.03													
	Neighborhood Purpose Grants		NPGs subtotal:	\$6,845.03	\$0.00												
		Election and Bylaws															
\$0.00		Education, Arts, and Culture		\$2,000.00													
0		various projects		2000													
		Planning and Land Use Mgt. (PLUM)															
		Public Health & Safety		\$3,595.03													

General/Operational		General/Operational Subtotal \$35,158.20													
1000			Winter wonderland	1000					1000						
\$1,000.75			High visibility vests	1500					1000.75						
0			CERT refreshments	300											
0			Coffeetime with a Cop	300											
495.03			Basic First Aid Kits	495.03					47.96					447.07	
0			Homeless Issues												
0			Engagement program	750											
			Transportation and Infrastructure												
\$0.00			Community Outreach												
			Event at the MYPark	0											
0			Green Garden Showcase	500											
			Community Improvement Grants												
			CIPs subtotal:	0	0										
Grand Total, MVCC 2018-19 Budget				\$42,003.23											
			copyland						\$191.71		\$583.36			\$19.47	
									\$491.79					\$96.36	
														\$74.15	
			web corner refund											\$63.00	

13.1. **[POLICY] Stakeholder Petition Regarding Venice Blvd.** – Discussion and possible action regarding a stakeholder petition pertaining to the “Venice Blvd. Great Street.”

**LADOT/GREAT STREETS INITIATIVE/CD 11
GREAT STREETS - VENICE BLVD PILOT PROJECT MOTION**

Background: The Venice Blvd. “Great Street” pilot project was implemented on May 20, 2017. This one-year pilot project included removing one traffic lane in each direction to install a curbside protected bike lane, a buffer/loading zone and reconfigured on-street parking.

This pilot project was presented as a collaboration between Mayor Garcetti’s Great Streets Initiative, Council District 11 and LADOT, with regular input from stakeholders through the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC). But since stakeholders started voicing their concerns regarding this pilot project in June, 2017, Mayor Garcetti’s Great Streets Initiative, Council District 11 and LADOT (they) have ceased collaborating with stakeholders and the MVCC.

For example:

- 1) they do not regularly attend MVCC committee meetings where the pilot project is discussed,
- 2) they withhold information and data collected on this pilot project,
- 3) they refuse to hold a Townhall meeting to address stakeholders’ concerns,
- 3) they refuse to discuss removing or significantly altering components of the pilot project that many stakeholders have identified as not working, and
- 4) they made decisions about this pilot project without involving stakeholders and the MVCC in the decision-making process.

Councilman Bonin attended the July 11, 2017 MVCC Board meeting to announce the beginning of the project and hear feedback from the MVCC and its stakeholders. On December 20, 2018, he released a YouTube video to inform us the pilot project was a success and was here to stay.

MOTION: Be it resolved that the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) will send a letter forthwith to LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative and Councilman Mike Bonin’s office stating:

- 1) transportation projects like this should be an ongoing partnership between stakeholders, the Community Council and the City, and the MVCC is the best and most direct way to communicate about projects like this with the public, and
- 2) no transportation project of this scale and magnitude can be considered, planned, implemented or approved without the ongoing involvement and approval of the MVCC and its stakeholders.

Furthermore, the letter will demand that the previous partnership with the MVCC on the Great Streets Venice Blvd pilot project be revived immediately or the MVCC will withdraw its support for this pilot project.

13.2. [POLICY][Great Streets] Independent Traffic Study – Discussion and possible action regarding an item - requesting a community panel and an independent traffic study of the Venice Boulevard Great Street project - amended at the 11/13/2018 BoD meeting and committed to the Great Streets Subcommittee.

Motion as amended on 11/13/2018 and committed to Great Streets Subcommittee -

WHEREAS, the Great Streets - Venice Boulevard Pilot Project has caused conflict and controversy in Mar Vista and the surrounding communities; and

WHEREAS, numerous requests for pre- and post-project data to the Great Streets Initiative in Mayor Garcetti's office, the Active Transportation and Vision Zero offices in the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT), and Councilmember Mike Bonin's office have been non-responsive; and

WHEREAS, the limited data and analysis that has been released from LADOT and Councilmember Bonin's office is contradictory to the experiences of the community members living near, conducting business on and otherwise using Venice Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, numerous requests for Town Hall meetings with question and answer sessions have been denied by LADOT and Councilmember Bonin's office; and

WHEREAS, the Silver Lake community, when faced with a similar situation, asked for and were granted funds to hire a transportation contractor to conduct an independent traffic study regarding the Rowena Avenue road diet by their Councilmember David Ryu; and

WHEREAS, Mar Vista stakeholders, on behalf of the businesses and residents, commuters, emergency responders and others impacted by the Great Streets Venice Boulevard Pilot Project, would like to seek the same remedy in order to receive answers to their questions and concerns that have heretofore been unaddressed by the Great Streets Initiative, LADOT and Councilmember Bonin.

THEREFORE, in the spirit of community engagement, transparency and accountability, the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) will assemble a community panel, and request that Councilmember Bonin fund an independent traffic study of the Great Streets Venice Boulevard Pilot Project, to be completed within four months of contract with an independent firm of the Community's choosing, excluding current Great Streets contractor Fehr & Peers Transportation Consultants.

Proposed substitute –

WHEREAS, the Great Streets - Venice Boulevard Pilot Project has caused conflict and controversy in Mar Vista and the surrounding communities; and

WHEREAS, numerous requests for pre- and post-project data to the Great Streets Initiative in Mayor Garcetti's office, the Active Transportation and Vision Zero offices in the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT), and Councilmember Mike Bonin's office have been non-responsive; and

WHEREAS, the limited data and analysis that has been released from LADOT and Councilmember Bonin's office is contradictory to the experiences of the community members living near, conducting business on and otherwise using Venice Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, numerous requests for Town Hall meetings with question and answer sessions have been denied by LADOT and Councilmember Bonin's office; and

WHEREAS, the Silver Lake community, when faced with a similar situation, asked for and were granted funds to hire a transportation contractor to conduct an independent traffic study regarding the Rowena Avenue road diet by their Councilmember David Ryu; and

WHEREAS, Mar Vista stakeholders, on behalf of the businesses and residents, commuters, emergency responders and others impacted by the Great Streets Venice Boulevard Pilot Project, would like to seek the same remedy in order to receive answers to their questions and concerns that have heretofore been unaddressed by the Great Streets Initiative, LADOT and Councilmember Bonin.

THEREFORE, in the spirit of community engagement, transparency and accountability, the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) will assemble a community panel to conduct an independent traffic study of the Great Streets Venice Boulevard Pilot

- 13.3. **[POLICY] [Great Streets] ADA Evaluation of Venice Blvd.** – Discussion and possible action regarding an item requesting an ADA evaluation of the Venice Blvd. project

The Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) supports an independent Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) evaluation of the Great Street Venice Boulevard Pilot Project.

- 14.1. **[POLICY][PLUM] Potential impacts of SB50 (CF#18-1226)** – Discussion and possible action regarding a Community Impact Statement in support of Councilmember Koretz’ motion instructing the Planning Department to report back on the potential impacts to Los Angeles from Senate Bill 50.

PLANNING & LAND USE MANAGEMENT

MOTION

The population of the City of Los Angeles continues to grow, and with that growth comes the need for more housing. The challenge is to accommodate residential development in a sustainable way that respects the collection of the unique neighborhoods that characterizes Los Angeles, while at the same time ensuring residents a high quality of life, a vibrant economy, and accessibility to jobs, open space, and urban amenities.

The City’s General Plan lays out the strategy to meet this challenge by directing new homes to centers that are rich in transit, amenities, and jobs in order to support this growth through planned, sustainable infill development. To implement this vision and devise local strategies for development, the City is working with neighborhoods to implement 35 Community Plan updates and a number of Transit Neighborhood Plans. These planning efforts, along with other citywide policies, determine the mix, location, and intensities of land uses and provide opportunities for housing, jobs, transit, and basic amenities for all segments of the population.

The City has been making significant strides to meet its demand for more housing. In Fiscal Year 2017-18, the City permitted more than 24,000 housing units — a number which exceeded previous estimates over the course of the last thirty years. The City’s recently established Transit Oriented Communities (TOC) Program is continuing to assist in the production of additional housing units. In its first year, the TOC Program has seen more than 10,000 units proposed near transit stops with nearly 2,000 of those units restricted as affordable.

On December 3, 2018, Senator Scott Wiener introduced Senate Bill 50 (Weiner) — a state bill that proposes to upzone large cross-sections of the City. If adopted, this bill would grant projects exemptions and modifications from local zoning regulations if they are located within ½ mile of a major transit stop or within a job-rich area, and include affordable housing. Given the possible problematic implications, detailed analysis is needed to fully evaluate the potential impacts to the City.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the Council instruct the Department of City Planning, with the assistance of the City Attorney, to prepare a report-back with analysis on Senate Bill 50 detailing its potential impacts to the City’s land use regulatory process and zoning including but not limited to potential impacts to Historic Preservation Overlay Zones, affordable housing incentive programs such as Transit Oriented Communities (TOC), and Community Plan Updates. The report should also include background information on SB 50’s proposed concepts of major transit stops and job-rich areas.

PRESENTED BY: _____

PAUL KORETZ,
Councilmember, 5th District

SECONDED BY: _____


DEC 12 2018

ORIGINAL

14.2. [POLICY][PLUM] Support of resolution protecting buildings considered for historical designation (CF# 16-0988-S1) - Discussion and possible action regarding a Community Impact Statement in support of Councilmember Koretz' protecting buildings considered for historic designation.

PLANNING & LAND USE MANAGEMENT

MOTION

The City is home to many historically significant properties throughout its communities. Many of these properties were built in periods of significance dating back to the City's founding, through its periods of expansive growth, and through modern periods of innovation.

On occasion, there are instances wherein a historically significant property is set for demolition, and the Council must introduce a Motion to put a stay on demolition until the building's historic significance can be vetted by the Cultural Heritage Commission, for potential designation as a Historic-Cultural Monument.

The City's Administrative Code is silent on the period of time between a Motion's introduction and subsequent Council action, and thus technically may allow for a demolition to occur while a Historic-Cultural Monument designation is pending Council review. This loophole needs to be corrected.

Section 91.106.4.5.1 of the Administrative Code (Ordinance No. 185270), which became effective January 20, 2018, however, requires the Department of Building and Safety to notify by mail, the Council District office of the site regarding the proposed demolition of a building or structure that is 45 years or older, at least 30 days prior to the issuance of the demolition or building structure permit. The notification requirements of the ordinance need to be expanded from 30 to 60 days in an effort to provide as much notice as possible to all interested community members and/or stakeholders.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the Council instruct the Planning Department, in consultation with the City Attorney, to report on the feasibility of the preparation of an Ordinance to amend all relevant sections of the Administrative Code to expand the definition of *initiation* of the designation of a historic-cultural monument to include the introduction of a Motion by a Member of the Council.

I FURTHER MOVE that the Council instruct the Department Building and Safety, in consultation with the City Attorney, to prepare and present an Ordinance to increase the notification requirements from 30 days to 60 days prior to the issuance of a demolition or structure permit for a building or structure that is 45 years or older based on the date the application is submitted.

PRESENTED BY: Paul Koretz
PAUL KORETZ
Councilmember, 5th District

SECONDED BY: [Signature]

[Signature]

FEB 05 2013

ORIGINAL

rrm

14.3. [POLICY][Transportation and Infrastructure] – Opposition to Rose and Sepulveda traffic signal – Discussion and possible action regarding a resolution opposing the proposed traffic signal at Rose and Sepulveda.

The Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) opposes the proposed traffic signal at Rose/Sepulveda. Instead, the MVCC supports a marked pedestrian crosswalk, with flashing lights identical to that at Clover and Sepulveda, and associated improvements at the intersection of Rose and Sepulveda.