

Mar Vista Community Council
Executive and Finance Committee

Monday November 1, 2010

7:00 pm

Santa Monica College Bundy Campus, Room 421

MINUTES

1. The meeting was called to order at 7:07 pm. In attendance were Albert Olson, Sharon Commins, Laura Bodensteiner, Alex Thompson and Bill Koontz.
2. The minutes of the October meeting were approved
3. The committee unanimously approved the October PC Bank Statement, and there was a brief report on final expenditures for the Fall Festival.
4. **Committee Administrative Items**
 - a. Olson noted that the agenda for the upcoming Board meeting was very short.
 - b. Future General Stakeholder meetings were discussed, with late January or early February identified for a Safety and Security Stakeholder meeting, and possibly an April date for an Education Summit/Stakeholder meeting.
5. **Quarterly Newsletter**
 - a. Next issue will be out in time for Safety and Security Stakeholder meeting:

date for distribution:	Jan 29
date for print:	Jan 22
date for articles:	Jan 15
6. **Website business** (possible motions)
 - b. Funding motion: to purchase additional equipment for video cam (extra battery, extra charger, ac adapter, tape cartridges) to assist in creating video coverage of community events for placement on website. This motion was tabled for next month in the hope that Babak Nahid, who submitted the motion, would be present to discuss. Laura Bodensteiner commented that a Fall Festival video should be created and used as promotion for next years festival.
7. **Old Business** (possible motions)
 - a. Sharon Commins reported on October 16 Mayors Budget Day
8. **New Business** (possible motions)
 - a. Charnock Wells Restoration Project: discussion of installation of 15 foot high generator that seems to violate the spirit, if not the letter, of the aesthetic mitigations of the EIR. The following motion was unanimously approved: *The MVCC strongly objects to the installation of the alternate generator onsite at the Charnock Wells Field Restoration Project. The MVCC believes the size and industrial nature of the installation is out of character with the residential neighborhood and out of character with the aesthetic mitigations which were agreed upon through the EIR process.*
 - b. Discussion of WRAC motions and compatibility with existing MVCC motions: The committee discussed the issue of motions that come to the MVCC from WRAC that are similar to a preexisting MVCC motion on a given issue, but not identical. It was decided that the Chair would bring these motions to the Exec committee on a case-by-case basis. If the Exec committee unanimously agrees that the intent of the WRAC motion is the same as the intent of the MVCC motion, the Chair of the MVCC may instruct WRAC that the MVCC officially agrees with the submitted WRAC motion. If the Executive committee does not have unanimous agreement on the intent, then the submitted WRAC motion must be brought to the MVCC Board, and possibly appropriate committee, for approval.
10. The meeting adjourned at 8:45