

JOINT MEETING of the Mar Vista Community Council Planning/Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee and the Traffic and Infrastructure [T & I] Committee

Tuesday, December 15, 2009, 6:00 to 7:55 PM
Mar Vista Library (Southwest corner of Venice and Inglewood Blvds.)
12006 Venice Blvd., Mar Vista, CA 90066

Co-Chairs: PLUM: Steve Wallace and Sharon Commins
Co-Chairs: T & I : Chuck Ray and Ken Alpern
(Every MVCC Stakeholder present is allowed to vote on every motion introduced at this meeting)

Combined AGENDA

1. Call to Order (1 min.)
2. Volunteer to Take Minutes (1 min.)
3. Introductions; Public Announcements/Comments, items not on the agenda, (2 min.)
4. Approval of Minutes, with potential motions (1 min.)
 - a. PLUM
 - b. T & I
5. Report on MVCC Board Actions, with potential motions [2 min]
 - a. PLUM
 - b. T & I
6. PLUM Early Notification System Update, with potential motions: no new projects at this time
7. New Business, with potential motions (20 min.)
 - a. PLUM
 - i. ZA 2009-2222 CUB-ZV THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A NEW RESTAURANT AND OFF-SITE PARKING BY LEASE IN LIEU OF THE REQUIRED COVENANT. Eddie Navarrette; Niki Nakayama
 - ii. Proposed LID or Low Development Ordinance [forwarded for PLUM consideration by the Green Committee]
 - b. T & I
8. Old Business, with potential motions (55 min.)
 - a. PLUM
 - i. ADU update
 - ii. Casden Project update
 - iii. Bundy Village Project update
 - b. T & I
 - i. CD11 ad-hoc working group, Scattergood Olympic Line 1 Project update
 - ii. Casden Project update
 - iii. Bundy Village Project update
 - c. PLUM Committee/Task Force Reports
 - i. follow up to MVCC letter on Pacific Christian variance-Len Nguyen
 - ii. Commercial Corridors Update
 - iii. Venice Blvd. Streetscape Improvement Association (Chris McKinnon, others)
 - iv. Concept Plan/Livable Boulevards
 - v. Old Fire Station 62
 - vi. ROSE Committees [tree plantings et all],
 - vii. WRAC LUPC
 - viii. PLANCHECK,
 - ix. CD 11 Empowerment Congress Planning Committee
 - x. Sober Living Housing Regulation: Update; neighborhood monitoring [Len Nguyen]
 - xi. Medical Marijuana Regulation: Update and neighborhood monitoring [Len Nguyen]
 - d. T & I Committee/Task Force Reports
 - i. LADOT/CD11/LAPD Bi-Monthly Traffic Committee of Linda Guagliano
 - ii. NTM Committee
 - iii. CD11 Transportation Committee
 - iv. LA DWP -NC MOU Committee

- 9. Public Comment (1 min.)
- 10. Future Agenda Items (1 min.)
- 11. Adjournment

APPENDICES

A. LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE 'LID'

Objective: The provisions of this section set forth requirements for construction activities and facility operations of development and redevelopment projects requiring building permits for new buildings with impervious roofs, additions that expand the footprint, or use of land to:

- i. Comply with the requirements of the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan; and
- ii. Integrate Low Impact Development (LID) practices and standards for stormwater pollution mitigation, as defined by the "Development Best Management Practices Handbook" adopted by the Board of Public Works. LID shall be inclusive of SUSMP requirements and shall apply to all development and redevelopment projects.

Financial impact: yes, in the form of increased permit fees for construction and remodeling

B. CASDEN MOTION [PLUM approved]

Policy Motion:

The Mar Vista Community Council opposes the proposed tract change at Exposition/Sepulveda/Pico (currently owned by Casden Developers), because:

- 1) The excessive, size, height, density and scope of this project lies entirely outside the character of this region, and would therefore disrupt if not destroy the character of all adjacent neighborhoods
- 2) There is no finalized and accurate documentation of the traffic and environmental impacts of this project
- 3) There remains insufficient guaranteed transit-oriented development within this project that is consistent with a commercial development adjacent to a rail station.
- 4) There are serious and insufficiently-addressed health concerns regarding a freeway adjacent housing development, such as the increased risk of asthma and cancer of any future residents
- 5) There are inadequate residential amenities for a project of this scope and size, including insufficient land/playing field/open space for a development of this size and of this many people
- 6) The Property in question, zoned M2, represents a significant portion of M2-zoned space in the region, and there is no documented location for any replacement industrial zoning
- 7) This project contributes to Westside traffic congestion, rather than help solve it, by insufficiently using the land for parking and accommodations for bus, rail, and bicycle commuters, who would benefit from an adjacent Westside Regional Transportation Center at this site