

PLUM-130618-AGENDA MVCC Planning/Land Use Management Committee

Tuesday, June 18, 2013, 6:00 to 7:55 PM Mar Vista Library (SW corner of Venice and Inglewood Blvds.) 12006 Venice Blvd., Mar Vista, CA 90066

Co-Chairs: Steve Wallace and Michael Millman

AGENDA [all MVCC stakeholders present may vote on any motion presented at this meeting]

1. Call to Order
2. Introductions and public comment for items not on the agenda (1 min each)
3. Approval of Minutes (2 min.)
4. Report on MVCC Board actions: No PLUM motions at June BOD
5. Early Notification System [‘ENS’] Update, if any (1 min)
6. New Business (30 min) including motions; public comment 2 min per person
 - A. 3621 CENTINELA SMALL LOT SUB: AA-2012-3560-PMLA-SL: Motion to support project approved unanimously
 - i. Q condition compliance-single family
 - ii. Special meeting following Casden
 - B. CASDEN SEPULVEDA SPECIAL REPORT [CC hearing scheduled for June 25, 2013]:
 - i. MOTION: To support the Casden Consensus Committee’s development parameters for a legal 3:1 FAR project on the 4.38 acre site.
 1. 320-450 units residential maximum; preferred density range 80-112 du/acre.
 2. no "big box" store;
 3. 55,000 sq ft grocery store
 4. 50,000 sq ft of general retail space.
 5. height--shorter and fatter likely preferred-have to see sketch if the applicant is willing
 6. drawings and plans to see what it will look like
 7. community benefits--traffic mitigations and transit passes
 8. EXPO line betterments: a Westside Regional Transit Center including bike amenities, extra parking; bus accessApproved unimously
 - ii. MOTION to immediately refer to MVCC BOD any proposed compromise project/changes to the submitted legal FAR alternative project which may be presented by Casden unanimously
 - iii. NOTE: Adkins bill inclusionary zoning coming down the pike
 - C. 3472 S. CENTINELA: FORMER WILD OATS BLDG: ZONED [Q]C1-1 VL
 - i. Possible medical marijuana facility moving in? MOTION to oppose the use at that location and oppose any attempt to ‘transfer’ a permit to that site by any medical marijuana enterprise exempt under the passage of Prop D
Approved unanimously
 - D. MURAL ORDINANCE CF 11-0923—See Attachment A for PPCC response. MOTION to request the ordinance be referred back to city council committee to address issues raised by PPCC’s letter:
 - *Whether there should be an exception for R1 and/or all other residential zones;*
 - *Whether murals in residential zones should be limited to areas that are not visible from the public right of way (e.g., back yard fences, rear walls no higher than one-story, etc.);*
 - *Whether communities that wish to have murals should be permitted to use existing land use tools such as overlay zones to allow murals in R1 and/or other residential zones (and not the other way around, as we understand the revised Ordinance will provide);*
 - *Whether illumination of murals should be allowed at all and in particular in or near residential zones;*
 - *Whether the ordinance’s definition of a "commercial message" is clear, adequate and/or sufficient;*
 - *Whether the ordinance’s definition of "digitally printed restorative images" or "art murals" is clear, adequate and/or sufficient, and whether the definition of "Original Art Mural" should include "digitally printed restorative" images;*
 - *Whether the "administrative rules" governing the "Neighborhood Involvement Requirement" should be adopted and set forth in the Ordinance prior to its enactment, including specific provisions for notice of the required community meeting;*
 - *Whether existing murals are or should be clearly grandfathered under the Ordinance;*
 - *Whether any aspects of the Ordinance may impact public safety and/or quiet enjoyment of residential property, including the height and illumination provisions;*
 - *Whether there will be sufficient enforcement of the Ordinance provisions.*Approved unanimously
7. Continuing Business: Committee/Task Force/Updates receive and file by consent if no report (5 min.)
 - A. CCFO Workshop update [Millman]
 - i. Do by zones six meetings
8. CITYWIDE ORDINANCES

- A. CITYWIDE: CONSOLIDATION OF PLANNING AND LADBS: CF 13-0046
 - B. CITYWIDE: RECODE LA: Comprehensive 5 year plan to revise the zoning code
 - C. COMMUNITY CARE FACILITIES ORDINANCE CPC 2009-800-CA/CEQA ENV-2009-801-ND/COUNCIL FILE 11-0262: Rosendahl/Alarcon amending motion 31B strips all definitions and removes the 50 year old prohibition against boarding houses in R zones. Both ordinance and amending motion referred to CC ad hoc committee. No report back date.
 - D. CITYWIDE: APRON PARKING: Council File 11-1676_MOT_10-04-11 [Rosendahl/Koretz] that the City Attorney be requested to prepare and present an ordinance to repeal Section 80.00(h) of the Municipal Code so that the definition of "parkway" is consistent throughout the Municipal Code
 - E. CITYWIDE: SIGN ORDINANCE, COUNCIL FILE 08-2020; 08-3386-S1: Sent back to CC PLUM
9. MVCC and SURROUNDING AREA PROJECT WATCH LIST: no motions, receive and file
- A. MARTIN CADILLAC
 - B. Bergamot Station and other Santa Monica projects]: massive mixed use proposals
 - C. Marcasel/Washington Culver City project update, if
10. Public Comment (1 min.)
11. Future Agenda Items (1 min.)
12. Adjournment

ATTACHMENT A: PPCC Mural Ordinance response

The Pacific Palisades community supports the arts and is proud of the existing murals which have long graced several of our public spaces. PPCC has been generally supportive of the concept of an ordinance which would allow murals under certain circumstances. We have previously expressed concerns to the Planning Dept. about some aspects of earlier versions of the proposed Mural Ordinance. We have now learned that a revised version will be heard in Council on June 19 which incorporates certain changes directed by PLUM, including eliminating the exception for R1.

As of this writing we have not seen a draft of the new version and we are informed that it may not be available for review until shortly before June 19. PPCC and other community and neighborhood councils have had insufficient time to consider and respond to these changes. We believe strongly that the Mural Ordinance should be referred back to committee and reconsidered for further review and community input.

PPCC has numerous concerns about the expected revised Mural Ordinance, including without limitation the following:

- *Whether there should be an exception for R1 and/or all other residential zones;*
- *Whether murals in residential zones should be limited to areas that are not visible from the public right of way (e.g., back yard fences, rear walls no higher than one-story, etc.);*
- *Whether communities that wish to have murals should be permitted to use existing land use tools such as overlay zones to allow murals in R1 and/or other residential zones (and not the other way around, as we understand the revised Ordinance will provide);*
- *Whether illumination of murals should be allowed at all and in particular in or near residential zones;*
- *Whether the ordinance's definition of a "commercial message" is clear, adequate and/or sufficient;*
- *Whether the ordinance's definition of "digitally printed restorative images" or "art murals" is clear, adequate and/or sufficient, and whether the definition of "Original Art Mural" should include "digitally printed restorative" images;*
- *Whether the "administrative rules" governing the "Neighborhood Involvement Requirement" should be adopted and set forth in the Ordinance prior to its enactment, including specific provisions for notice of the required community meeting;*
- *Whether existing murals are or should be clearly grandfathered under the Ordinance;*
- *Whether any aspects of the Ordinance may impact public safety and/or quiet enjoyment of residential property, including the height and illumination provisions;*
- *Whether there will be sufficient enforcement of the Ordinance provisions.*

PPCC respectfully submits that there is no need for the Council to enact this Ordinance without a thorough consideration and input by all affected constituencies, in particular the community and neighborhood councils which have not yet seen the revised version and will have had insufficient time to respond prior to the Council meeting.

We urge the City Council to return the Ordinance to committee for further input and consideration.

*Translators, sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices for the hard of hearing and/or other auxiliary aids/services are available upon request. To ensure the availability of services, please make your request at least three (3) working days before the date. If you have any questions regarding this notice, please call (213) 485- 1360. Comments from the public on Agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the Agenda that are within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction will be heard during the public comment period. Public comment is limited to two minutes per speaker, unless waived by the Chair of the Committee. Mar Vista Community Council Planning and Land Use Management Committee meetings follow Rosenberg's Rules of Order, the latest edition. For more information, please visit the MVCC web site.