



MAR VISTA COMMUNITY COUNCIL



Marvista.org

Meeting Agenda

Transportation, Infrastructure, and Sustainability (TI&S) with the Board of Directors

Wednesday, June 4, 2025 From 6:30 to 8:30pm

Virtually at: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86007487493>

Meeting ID: 860 0748 7493

Public Welcome

1. CALL TO ORDER 6:35

2. ROLL CALL AND EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS/CONFLICTS-OF-INTEREST - roll call shall include each attending board member declaring any ex parte communications outside of their duties and conflicts of interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.

Kelsey Figone no/no

Fred Kuhns no/no

Derl Clausen no/no

Jakob Meuser no/no

3. WELCOME AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

4. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES - [SEE MEETING MINUTES UPLOADED HERE FOR DETAILS](#)

4.1. Approval of the April 2 Minutes – [tabled to next month](#)

5. REPRESENTATIVE, GOVERNMENT AGENCY, AND CITY DEPARTMENT REPORTS 6. CHAIR REPORTS

a) CHAIR – Kelsey Figone

i) [SEE CHAIR REPORT SLIDES IN SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR DETAILS.](#) b)

VICE-CHAIR – April Peterson

c) MEMBER – Jakob Meuser

d) MEMBER – Derl Clausen

e) MEMBER – Fred Kuhns

7. SPECIAL ORDERS – NONE 8. STANDARD ORDER OF BUSINESS - NEW - [SEE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR DETAILS](#)

8.1. **[DISCUSSION] (Hurdles to sustainability: recent lawsuits and their effects)** Discussion regarding the repeal of the electrification ordinance (in response to the Berkeley case in the 9th Circuit Court) and the repeal and possible re-adoption of the oil phaseout ordinance (in response to AB3233).

Fred: Electrification Berkeley case (*California Restaurant Association v. City of Berkeley*, 89 F.4th 1094 (9th Cir. 2024)) will have an impact on Los Angeles. Berkeley had an ordinance requiring increased electrification and prohibiting increased gas hookups and appliances. 9th Circuit decided that the Berkeley ordinance was preempted by the EPCA and thus the ordinance was unlawful. The city council

repealed the ordinance shortly thereafter. On 11/13/2025, Mayor Bass issued an order waiving the electric building code requirement for rebuilding efforts after the fire. There was a revised order in March to allow for fast tracked permitting while still requiring electrification. The City Council passed a motion staying the implementation of our all electric ordinance and starting the repeal process. Other options include following NY emissions standards so stringent that the appliances still need to be electric, without explicitly requiring electrification. AB306 has passed the assembly and would prohibit any new building codes in California for the next 6 years.

Kelsey: How does the NY ordinance not get into the same preemption issue?

Fred: The law isn't specific about emissions and so preemption might not be an issue.

Jesse: AQMD is prepared to vote on a phaseout of gas appliances.

Tommy: Will there be a program to help people hookup their new electric appliances?

Fred: Electrification is great, recently got a new induction stove and that required running a new line, so things can get expensive.

Andrew: During remodeling, electrification did require a new panel. Is there any study as to whether the utilities can accommodate the draw?

Fred: The LA100 study involves a lot of increased generation and makes this quite doable, just need to build out more power, and it's getting cheaper all the time.

Jakob: Will these actions ban people from having gas appliances or just buying new ones?

Fred: It would just limit people from buying new ones.

Fred: Oil phaseout is chugging along. In January of 2022 the city council voted to ban new oil and gas drilling in the City and phase out current drilling operations over the next 20 years. The superior court ruled the city's ordinance illegal in September of 2024. 3 weeks after that Governor Newsom signed AB3233 giving localities the power to ban drilling, but it is not retroactive so cities have to pass new ordinances. A new oil well ordinance is being introduced in early 2026. Last week LA City Council voted to repeal the city ordinance, but a new ordinance will be reintroduced soon. LA City Planning was gathering stakeholder comments yesterday and they said that it would take 6 or 9 months to get a motion in front of the council. Operators can argue that they need to recoup their costs before they can be shut down. The overall takeaway is that the majority of oil companies operating within the city have either recouped their costs or will recoup them in the near future.

Jakob: Are we sure there isn't a way to speed this new ordinance process up? The departments needing time to talk seems like something the Council could change.

Fred: No one really said anything about that.

Fred: LA County is 11% of California oil production.

Cian: There are many parks around LA with so much oil drilling.

8.2. [DISCUSSION] (Sepulveda Transit Corridor) Discussion and updates regarding the recent community meetings on the [Sepulveda Transit Corridor](#) project. Also, this [dedicated website](#).

Kelsey: Went to first virtual meeting on zoom in the new round of meetings. The meeting this time was much more general, and LADOT did not get into much detail about the different alternatives. The DEIR is finally out now. Visit the website for detailed info!

8.3. [POLICY] (Amend LAMC 56.15 - No riding e-bicycles on sidewalks) Discussion and possible action regarding the pending [WRAC motion](#) on including e-bicycles in the LAMC language about what is allowed on sidewalks.

Kelsey: This has been discussed in WRAC a few times, but there is not unanimous agreement about this on WRAC. See attached motion for detailed proposed ordinance.

Derl/Fred

Jakob: Could we add ebikes to the category of devices that can't be used with wanton disregard for the safety of others without outright banning them?

Fred: Agree with Jakob. There are certain industrial areas where a sidewalk is really the only safe spot to bike.

Andrew and Tommy: Why don't we just vote this down? Then WRAC won't decide anything.

Jakob/Derl move to table

Tommy	N
P2	A
Chelsey	A
Jakob	Y
Kelsey	Y
Derl	Y
Carolyn	Y
Steve	Y
Fred	Y
Jennifer	Y
Greg	Y
Andrew	Y
Call in user	Y

Tabled 10-1-2

8.4. [POLICY] (BICYCLE AND MULTI USE PATHS / MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY / DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS / LOS ANGELES ADMINISTRATIVE CODE / AMENDMENT) Discussion and possible action regarding [Council File 25-0481](#) (also references [Council File 25-0124](#)) on jurisdictional changes to who maintains bike paths not directly owned by the City.

Kelsey: This would amend the code to assign specific agencies regarding the maintenance of bike paths not directly owned by the city.

Kelsey/Derl move to file CIS in support

Fred: Who is currently paying for maintenance?

Kelsey: LADOT is assigned to maintain the paths but they have no maintenance staff, so it is very inefficient for it to be there job.

Tommy: What is the difference between a bike lane on venice and a bike path on the creek. Everything seems so vague with this.

Jakob and Steve: This is a feasibility study, let's have them take a look at this issue and figure it out!

Tommy	A
P2	A
Chelsey	A

Jakob	Y
Kelsey	Y
Derl	Y
Carolyn	Y
Steve	Y
Fred	Y
Jennifer	A
Greg	Y
Andrew	Y
Call in user	Y

Motion passes 9-0-4

Kelsey/Derl move to extend meeting by 10 minutes, unanimously approved

8.5. [DISCUSSION] (2025-2027 T&I Committee) Discussion and recommendations for the incoming T&I committee members.

Tommy: Thank you for all the work you do, you run a good meeting for MVCC.

Andrew: Keep discussing the Sepulveda Transit Corridor and any transit projects going through Mar Vista.

Derl: Great work Kelsey! Keep the informative presentations going to educate stakeholders. More guest speakers too!

Fred: Great chair reports, it is great to bring in concerns from Stakeholders and have them on the agenda. Outreach is a huge part of this.

Jakob: Don't be shy from submitting CIS's, that is the main point of neighborhood councils!

Kelsey: It has been great to have regulars at the meetings. It is great to get young people on these meetings and give them a voice. They have great ideas and know a lot! Neighborhood cleanups were also a great way for us to see each other and to get out in the community. Delegate the duty of note taking and watching certain policy areas is important.

9. STANDARD ORDER OF BUSINESS - OLD -

10. PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA - *The Brown Act provides for a "part of the meeting where the public can comment on any item of interest that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency." The MVCC is an advisory board to the Los Angeles City Council and, as such, its jurisdiction is restricted within Los Angeles and most importantly, the Mar Vista Community. Therefore, please keep public comments within the MVCC jurisdiction. Thank you.*

None

11. ADJOURNMENT 8:35 PM

Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte Jennifer Rafeedie, Secretaria, al (424) 256-3633 o por correo electrónico jennifer.rafeedie@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board/Committee is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the

public may become the subject of a future Board/Committee meeting. Public comment is limited to one minute per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Committee.

If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting. City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code §§ 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying.

Public Input at Neighborhood Council Meetings – Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board’s jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comments for Items NOT on This Agenda period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during this period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to 3 minutes per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Board. Use the Zoom Link and phone numbers listed at the top of this agenda.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting by contacting the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment by calling (213) 978-1551 or email: NCsupport@lacity.org

Public Posting of Agendas -

Neighborhood Council agendas are posted for public review as follows:

- Mar Vista Recreation Center: [11430 Woodbine St, Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)
- MarVista.org
- You can also receive our agendas via email by subscribing to L.A. City’s [Early Notification System \(ENS\)](#)

Notice to Paid Representatives -

If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting, City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying. For assistance, please contact the Ethics Commission at (213) 978-1960 or ethics.commission@lacity.org

Public Access of Records -

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website, MarVista.org, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, they may be downloaded from our website; visit [MarVista.org > Council > Board](https://MarVista.org/Council/Board).

Reconsideration and Grievance Process -

For information on MVCC’s process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the MVCC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website, MarVista.org.

Servicios de Traducción -

Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte a chair@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

IN CONFORMITY WITH THE OCTOBER 6, 2023 ENACTMENT OF CALIFORNIA SENATE BILL 411 (PORTANTINO) AND LA CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL ON NOVEMBER 1, 2023, THE MAR VISTA COMMUNITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY.

SB 411 Updates:

In the event of a disruption that prevents the eligible legislative body from broadcasting the meeting to members of the public using the call-in option or internet-based service option, or in the event of a disruption within the eligible legislative body's control that prevents members of the public from offering public comments using the call-in option or internet-based service option, the eligible legislative body shall take no further action on items appearing on the meeting agenda until public access to the meeting via the call-in option or internet-based service option is restored. Actions taken on agenda items during a disruption that prevents the eligible legislative body from broadcasting the meeting may be challenged pursuant to Section 54960.1. The eligible legislative body shall not require public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and shall provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer comments in real time. Notwithstanding Section 54953.3, an individual desiring to provide public comment through the use of an internet website, or other online platform, not under the control of the eligible legislative body, that requires registration to log in to a teleconference may be required to register as required by the third-party internet website or online platform to participate.

- (i) An eligible legislative body that provides a timed public comment period for each agenda item shall not close the public comment period for the agenda item, or the opportunity to register, pursuant to subparagraph , to provide public comment until that timed public comment period has elapsed.
- (ii) An eligible legislative body that does not provide a timed public comment period, but takes public comment separately on each agenda item, shall allow a reasonable amount of time per agenda item to allow public members the opportunity to provide public comment, including time for members of the public to register pursuant to subparagraph (D), or otherwise be recognized for the purpose of providing public comment.
- (iii) An eligible legislative body that provides a timed general public comment period that does not correspond to a specific agenda item shall not close the public comment period or the opportunity to register, pursuant to subparagraph (D), until the timed general public comment period has elapsed.