



MAR VISTA COMMUNITY COUNCIL

MarVista.org



REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

Board of Directors

MarVista.org > Council > Board

Thursday, April 23rd, 2026 from 7:00pm - 9:00pm

PUBLIC WELCOME

Windward School, 11350 Palms Blvd, Los Angeles, Ca 90066 Room 20

Parking: Upon arrival, please enter through the Sawtelle Blvd lot as a member of the security team will show you to a parking space and will guide you to Room 20.

Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte Jakob Meuser, Secretaria, al (424) 256-3633 o por correo electrónico jakob.meuser@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to one minute per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Board.

If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting. City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code §§ 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying.

1. **CALL TO ORDER 7:04 PM**
2. **SPECIAL ORDERS**
3. **ROLL CALL WITH EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST** - *Roll Call shall include each board member declaring any ex parte communications outside of their duties and conflicts of interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.*

Delaram Ahmadyveasi no/no

Ian Blue no/no

Derl Clausen no/no

Steven Hedge no/no

Carolyn Honda no/no

Greg Kopelow no/no

Emily Lin no/no

Andrew Marton no/no

Jakob Meuser no/no

Stephen Paddock no/no

April Peterson absent excused

Deborah Poppink absent excused

Jennifer Rafeedie no/no

Charlene Samiley no/no

Chloe Samiley absent excused

Aaron Vanek no/no

4. WELCOME, ANNOUNCEMENTS and COMMUNITY MEMORIALS

Aaron: Zone 6 lost Margaret Peggy Guring. She and her husband were members of the local church for many years.

5. ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE, GOVERNMENT AGENCY AND CITY DEPARTMENT REPORTS

Matt Halden CD11: (1) The neighbors on Warren Ave and street services have been working on street pavement issues at the bottom of that block. Department of street services approved a plan to remove three trees and replace them with 6 new trees that will allow them to complete street repairs. From the time that they start the work it will take 1-2 months. (2) CD11 and CD5 combined forces to create a street lighting task force to address the back log. The current wait time is 270 days. We secured extra discretionary funding to get these things done quicker. 22 lights have been repaired in Mar Vista. (3) Gateway mural project meeting was last week. The final rendering will be next week. We are still on target to complete by the end of 2026.

Janet Turner Ted Lieu's office: Congressman introduced new bill today: it is to prevent the misuse of law enforcement by the federal government to tamp down peaceful protest. Three years ago there was a national parks study for the LA coastal area. Venice NC is holding a virtual briefing for anyone who has questions about it. Generally the state and county can keep control of beaches but it would allow the federal government to offer resources to our local beaches. HR6024 gives more money and resources to the VA to provide more mental health engagement and preventing suicide. HR7524 is the older workers bureau act, there would be a data hub and technical assistance center for older employees with new tech if they want to get back to work.

Carlos Pinnea for State Senator Smallwood-Cuevas: 6 out of her 14 bills have gone to appropriations. Her budget request proposes \$6 billion to address the cuts that HR1 has done to our Medical program. That is still moving through the budget process because HR1 will devastate California. The funding for Expo park infrastructure is \$75 million, still moving through the process. Also trying to get money to the labor and workforce development fund. The senator is very concerned about her district and the workforce of her state. On 6/6 there will be a car seat inspection program at LMU. Please RSVP to this event so that it moves quickly.

NEA Rep Erica Daudy: This was an exciting week for the City because on Monday the mayor presented her budget for next year. First, the Mayor has offered two resolution authority positions which were going to be eliminated. Second, \$128,000 was included in the next budget for NC election outreach. It will be complemented by \$800,000 from the City Clerk's office. Additionally, the \$25,000 budget for NCs will be maintained and \$10,000 rollover funds will be allowed. There will also be an additional \$60,000 for translation support. All in all, good news in terms of the proposed budget. This Friday and Monday the budget and finance committee will start hosting public comment hearings. Attend to voice your opinion in your individual capacity. There will be department hearings after the public comment period. Please take a look at the proposed budget. Also, a couple weeks ago our department sent an email to the board about accessibility compliance standards that we were required to fulfill. There has been an extension to that. On 4/29 from 6-8PM we are

hosting a social media accessibility for NCs training. If you work with the web vendor or someone else who manages the website, maybe attend. We have the Empower LA youth conference slated for 6/6. Last time I looked, 4 youth were registered for the MVCC. It is great to see that there is interest for a youth seat.

Karen Caldaron, field rep for Assembly Member Isaac Bryan: The Office of Assemblymember Isaac Bryan is hosting two community clean ups this week in honor of earth day. I have attached flyers for these events with more details and registration links.

We will be hosting a cleanup tomorrow morning at the westbound 10 FWY onramp at Fairfax Ave. in partnership with Caltrans.

On Saturday morning, our team will be hosting our annual stewardship event in partnership with Friends of Ballona.

One of Asm. Bryan's bills this session has already moved to the Senate. AB 2286 will ensure that incarcerated people still have access to legal counsel even when they are hospitalized.

Paige from Supervisor Horvath: This past month the board of supervisors approved a motion to better coordinate healthcare in the homelessness department. The metro board of directors voted to advance the K line northern extension connecting the south bay to Inglewood and Hollywood bowl. This will create many jobs and new wages, as well as \$22 billion in economic activity for the county. New motion directs or department of health to investigate and enforce fraud cases. In April the BOS advance a motion to implement the county's vision for the department of youth development. Rather than programming and coordination going through the probation department it would go more directly through the county. Last year the county captured 120 billion gallons of rainwater, a big increase.

- 6. PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA** - 1 minute per speaker, if time permits, more time will be given. The MVCC is built on Stakeholder participation and input; especially through our committees (see MarVista.org > Council > Committees). The Brown Act provides for a "part of the meeting where the public can comment on any item of interest that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency." The MVCC is an advisory board to the Los Angeles City Council and, as such, its jurisdiction is restricted within Los Angeles and most importantly, the Mar Vista Community. Therefore, please keep public comments within the MVCC jurisdiction and on matters that the MVCC

may act upon in future meetings. Thank you for your involvement with the MVCC. Please feel free to contact us (info@MarVista.org) if we may be of service.

Allen Levenson: I'm from Santa Monica speaking on behalf of measure LC defense. The city approved a lease and operating permit for jet suite X. This is a regional aircraft which has never been allowed at SM before. This was approved before environmental review and any public input. We want to see the airport close on time and used as a park as originally intended in 1926. The aviation people do not want it to be closed. Anyone concerned about the airport should learn more and support our efforts. We also have a website, measure LC defense.

Thomas Sidenfaden: I am a startup founder and software engineer running for the 3rd district BOS seat. I am concerned about homelessness because we are currently only concerned about permanent housing. My plan

is to change county strategy from a housing first to shelter first philosophy. It would also include civil liberty protections and a sunset clause.

Lizzie Moor: I am a lifelong resident here, lived in Mar Vista for the last 10 years. We had an amazing activation because we found out that the trapeze school was being relocated from the pier to behind our houses. We are the frame of Santa Monica. This park that is going in is our park. We have to take a proactive approach to engaging with the City of Santa Monica. This is going to be one of the largest parks and ancillary buildings. If we don't pay attention... There is a survey that closes Sunday and it is critical: SMACproject.com. Tell people what you want in the park. They are talking about inserting an arts and culture district. There are homeowners along there. The City is relocating the trapeze center thanks to our partnership with Traci Park's office. We had 28 different realtors from multiple companies signing a letter saying that our home price impact would be great.

- 7. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS** - 1 minute per speaker, if time permits, more time will be given. board members may make very limited comments and briefly respond to statements made or questions posed by persons exercising their general public comment rights (no action may be taken on matters brought up during general public comment).

Stephen Paddock: At the next PLUM meeting we will be discussing the Beethoven Market over zoom on the 14th of May.

Aaron Vanek: I have a lot of information in my zone 6 report. There has been a lot of break ins and home thefts in my zone. LAPD offers free safety walks to let you know what might encourage thieves. There is a tree planting event this Saturday in the zone. There will be a potluck of neighbors on Wednesday 5/6. There is also a potential project about painting intersections.

- 8. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA** Stephen/Greg move to adopt the agenda, no objections

- 9. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

- 9.1.** March 19th, 2026 Meeting

Clarifying Questions

Stephen: 11848 Venice comment uses LAUSD instead of LAHD.

Stephen moves/Greg seconds move to approve with correction

Public Comment

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			

Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

9.2. April 6th, 2026 Special Meeting

Charlene: This meeting was about the mural.

Clarifying Questions

Greg moves/Aaron seconds to approve

Public Comment

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x

Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

- 10. CONSENT CALENDAR** - *Items deemed to be routine, non-controversial. Any officer may ask a clarifying question instead of or before pulling item(s). - PLEASE SEE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR DETAILS*

- 10.1. [Administrative] [Funding] Budget Adjustments** - Discussion and possible action regarding the budget adjustments for April 2026

Charlene: This is supposed to be noncontroversial. This no longer includes the MER.

Greg: We started with \$33,000. We have spent \$17,325.

Clarifying Questions

Aaron: The \$15,000 that is remaining, the rollover of \$10,000 is the limit right? We will lose it. A: Yes.

Carolyn: Regarding the budget that the City approved about NCs for the election, do we get a say in how we want that money spent. A: Soon there will be a council file for the proposed budget. You can make your voices heard there.

Jakob moves/Greg seconds to approve

Public Comment

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			

Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

11. EXCLUDED CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

12. OLD BUSINESS - PLEASE SEE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR DETAILS.

13. NEW BUSINESS - PLEASE SEE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR DETAILS.

- 13.1. [Administrative] [Funding] Monthly Expenditure Report** - Discussion and possible action regarding the Monthly Expenditure Report [MER] for March 2026

Greg: This is the expenditures for the month.

Clarifying Questions

Ian moves/Andrew seconds to approve

Public Comment

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

13.2. [Policy] [PLUM] Support for 3252 S BARRINGTON AVE– 5-Unit Development – Discussion and possible action regarding motion for MVCC to support a proposed 5-unit Development located at 3252 S Barrington Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90066.

Stephen: This is support for a 5 unit development on Barrington which would be replacing a single family property. The developer decided to break it into smaller units.

Aaron from developer: We are proposing a 5 unit small lot subdivision. This is the same framework we established in the NC over multiple meetings. This would follow the single family small lot subdivision model. This has been going pretty smoothly. BOE is allowing to keep the oversized parkway in place. Mobility plan 2035 would otherwise call for road widening. Our public improvements would be cleaning up the alley way. All vehicular access will be from the alleyway and pedestrian access will be from the front. There would be a total of 5 homes with interior courtyard for parking. The homes range from 1400 to 1700 square feet, three stories and two stories. We are careful about how we positioned our stairwells so they are not overlooking other homes. Some of our units are reversed so that bedrooms are on lower level and living area is at upper. This project could be a density bonus but we aren't doing that. This is only discretionary because of the subdivision request.

Clarifying Questions

Carolyn: The cars won't be coming out from new spots, will there be garages? A: The garages will be the interior courtyard.

Ian moves/Stephen seconds to approve

Public Comment

Stakeholder: Is this low income or regular? A: Market rate homes, no affordable component.

Stakeholder: Where will the native plants be? A: They will mostly be in the front along Barrington, some in the alleyway.

Board Comment

Jennifer: This is the best development presentation we have received. There would usually be a lot of reservations, but I appreciate that you have garages and are thinking about the neighbors.

Stephen: I think you have learned, it was a great presentation. I like that you worked with an architect who understood the need. These are all family oriented which is nice.

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			

Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

13.3. [Policy] [TI&S] Support for Council File 25-1360: Scattergood Plant Plan Appeal –

Discussion and possible action regarding motion for MVCC to support Council File 25-1360: Scattergood Plant Plan Appeal, requesting that the City Council find the CEQA Environmental Impact Report for the Scattergood Modernization Project to be inadequate, and that the City Council send the report back to LADWP for correction of the deficiencies identified in the appeal letter dated November 14, 2025.

Jakob: This powerplant is set to close soon. There is a plan to try and convert it into a combination methane/hydrogen burning facility and the draft EIR was just submitted. But the EIR has some deficiencies, as outlined in the motion. It does not consider the environmental benefits of simply shuttering the plant, and does not contend with the possibility that there will never be hydrogen fuel available at scale. This is not a plan for producing hydrogen: it relies on an unspecified source that has not yet been developed and would use a lot of water and power.

Clarifying Questions

Stephen: They haven't studied how they are actually going to produce the hydrogen? A: insert

Andrew: Is this to basically support the CEQA EIR? The report has nothing to do with the practicality and design. A: insert

Carolyn: Who is in charge of this project? A:

Andrew: What is the 1% number? A:

Carolyn: Are we trying to shut down a source of energy here? A:

Derl: Our energy grid is archaic and if we optimize the way we send energy throughout our grid, we can use energy far more optimally and that goes a lot further.

Carolyn: The three round things in San Anofre? A: Nuclear.

Stephen: Is this all using Natural gas right now? A: Yes.

Derl moves/Stephen seconds to approve

Public Comment

Dorothy: Sierra club member here. How did the committee vote? A: 8-0-0.

Spencer: I was at the meeting and what Jakob is saying is correct. The proposal and its EIR ignores a bunch of flaws, difficulties and alternatives. It is heavily biased in terms of using hydrogen. This seems to just be an excuse to continue burning natural gas there since there is no plan to get the hydrogen, so there does not seem to be much use to this proposal. Support this motion.

Stakeholder: It sounds like some of these turbines have already been modified to be in compliance. In California we have shut down our refining capacity, so we are importing our fuel from other sources via ship which is producing more CO2 than if we were to refine it here in CA. The mission to become greener should make sure we are not exporting the problem elsewhere.

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda			x	
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton			x	
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie			x	
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	10	0	3	3

Passes 10-0-3-3

- 13.4. [Policy] [DIRECTOR] Opposition to Board of Public Works' Scheduled Removal of Healthy Trees on Warren Ave** – Discussion and possible action regarding motion for MVCC to oppose the exemption of a CEQA review by the Board of Public Works to remove three healthy trees along Warren Avenue and instead recommends that the Urban Forestry Division follows the recommendations outlined in the TreeCareLA report.

Aaron: As Matt Halden mentioned in his comments, there has been some damage to Warren Ave due to tree roots destroying the asphalt. LA city did an assessment and found that in order to repair the road 3 trees needed to be removed to repair it. Some stakeholders in the area hired an expert arborist to do an assessment, and they found that these trees are healthy enough to survive a root pruning so they wouldn't have to be removed. It was a divisive issue in the area. There was a meeting last Wednesday where the board of public works determined that they would go through with this project.

Clarifying Questions

Stakeholder: What are the addresses of the 3 trees? A: 13206, 13120, and 13140 are being removed and all three are healthy. The Board of Public Works bypassed the CEQA to remove these.

Stakeholder: How old are they? A: 80 years old, they can live up to 150. Some trees planted there have died already and those would be removed as well.

Carolyn: What are the tree numbers? A: You will have 3 big trees removed and 6 small ones replacing them. The City forest report said that these trees needed to be removed because they would not survive a root pruning. They were pruned 15 years ago.

Carolyn: Are the trees affecting the sewage system? A: Not that I know of. They are affecting parking there and there have been a number of issues with delivery trucks and cars the road is so bad.

Jennifer: If they do prune the trees, how long before they start harming the road again? A: That is up to the tree.

Stakeholder: According to the oral history I have gotten from the residents, it was previously every 5 to 7 years that pruning occurred. The cost of taking down 3 massive trees is not included in the report. Things are a little slippery for us, the necessary reports are not being written. When I am driving behind a car spewing gunky stuff and get out of their exhaust, as soon as I get out of it I think thank god for the catalytic converter. These trees are acting as catalytic converters.

Andrew moves/Greg seconds to approve

Public Comment

Stakeholder: I am a biologist and member of verdant Venice. I think the catalytic converter analogue is fantastic. I am most interested in habitat, and mature trees support a very important biodiversity for our neighborhoods. I want the streets fixed as a bicyclist and a walker. I have seen people trip but pruning is effective for fixing the street. Safety includes protecting habitat and tree canopy. Allegedly, streets LA had considered every alternative. But you could diagnose the issue after the asphalt is removed to determine if pruning or full removal should happen. I would like to ask that if this has to be done, what is going to be planted? Some trees are much better for supporting biodiversity.

Aaron: I offered to the neighbors to select which species will go in, but currently they are looking at coastal live oaks.

Stakeholder: Volunteer with Mar Vista arbor group. I am always a fan of data and information being available for making a decision. I would like to know what has been done before and what has and hasn't worked. Keep the trees!

Stakeholder: The background information indicates that 85 people have signed the petition. Is there information about those people who have been impacted by the trees? A: There isn't 100% of signatories that want to save the trees, but many would like to keep the trees if possible.

Stakeholder: I live on Moore St. for 60 years. It used to be graded every 5 to 6 years. But the street hasn't been worked on in 10 years.

Stakeholder: Is there a consideration at all that this is part of a city bond? A: This is coming from discretionary funds out of Traci Park's office. There was a lawsuit against the city because of an accident many years ago.

Stakeholder: Will this be precedent setting? A: They are only targeting trees that are problems to the street.

Stakeholder: Car commercials have shot on my street 10 times, maybe they could sponsor it.

Stakeholder: The city lost a lawsuit in 2014 where they were required to spend \$1.4 billion for street repair. When we have to remove a tree for development purposes, it is a years long battle. If an arborist says the trees are healthy, they are healthy.

Board Comment

Stephen: Will this have anything to do with the dead trees that are being removed? A: No, those would still be removed.

Carolyn: Is there any chance that if the trees can be kept then the trees plop over and kill somebody is the private person responsible? A: No the city.

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek			x	
Total	12	0	1	3

Passes 12-0-1-3

Charlene/Jakob move for 5 minute recess, no objection, came back at 8:54

Charlene/Andrew move to extend meeting to 9:15PM

- 13.5. [Policy] [DIRECTOR] Community Impact Statement - Charter Reform Commission Final Report - Neighborhood Council Elections ([Council File No. 26-0489](#))** – Discussion and possible action regarding the MVCC filing a Community Impact Statement that urges the Charter

Reform Commission, Council Member Traci Park and the City Council to amend the proposed Charter language to include an explicit mandate that Neighborhood Council elections be conducted on a regular cycle not to exceed two years, and that funding for the administration of those elections be established as a Charter obligation not subject to reduction or reallocation through the annual budget process.

Andrew: There is a lot in the city's charter reform report that is important and probably should be considered in an ad hoc or the elections and bylaws committee. Since this CIS was written, Mayor Bass came out with her budget which puts the election back on track and allocated funding. Some of these issues have been resolved by that.

Clarifying Questions

Carolyn: They were initially going to extend our term and skip the election right? A: Yes that was the plan.

Andrew: Changing the election process is probably unrealistic given the current budget issue. One point of this motion would be to make certain line items exist in the report so we would know that we would always get a certain amount of money and rollover.

Jakob: What is the proposed CIS? A: See motion.

Jakob moves/Ian seconds to approve

Public Comment

Board Comment

Aaron: It is good to lock it, but does that mean the number could never increase? A: It would be no less than that amount, so there could still be more.

Ian: I support this and appreciate the offer to make a friendly amendment adding Councilmember Yaroslavsky to this. **Asks unanimous consent to add CD5 Yaroslavsky to motion. No objection.**

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			
Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x

Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

- 13.6. [Administrative] [Town Hall Planning AD HOC] Preliminary Report, Discussion, Request for Approval of Further Action** – Discussion and possible action regarding motion for MVCC to approve the continuation of the town hall ad hoc committee and its work planning for a 2026 town hall event.

Aaron: An ad hoc committee was set up in November last year to plan a town hall meeting. Directors Paddock and Marton have been meeting with me online. This TH would be free and open to the public on a Saturday in October this year. Then there would be a breakout area for committees to meet and at the end we would wrap and do a concluding presentation. Two possible venues would be the community room at the MV library or the Windward School. This is a preliminary report regarding what we have been discussing.

Clarifying Questions

Carolyn: Did you see if the MV rec center is available? A: They don't have wifi and couldn't hit the numbers or allow snacks.

Carolyn: What would the \$2,000 cost be for? A: The cost is between \$0-2000 based on venue and security.

Jennifer: Did you consider that on the weekends people with kids would be doing their activities? What about a week night? A: We only considered certain venues and those did not allow for week nights.

Greg moves/Jakob seconds to approve

Public Comment

Board Comment

Name	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Delaram Ahmadyveasi	x			
Ian Blue	x			
Derl Clausen	x			
Steven Hedge	x			
Carolyn Honda	X			
Greg Kopelow	X			
Emily Lin	x			
Andrew Marton	X			
Jakob Meuser	X			

Stephen Paddock	x			
April Peterson				x
Deborah Poppink				x
Jennifer Rafeedie	X			
Charlene Samiley	X			
Chloe Samiley				x
Aaron Vanek	x			
Total	13	0	0	3

Passes 13-0-0-3

13.7. [Funding] [DIRECTOR] Zoom License – Discussion and possible action to approve \$255.61 to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment for one (1) Zoom license renewal in the 2026-2027 fiscal year.

13.8. [Funding] [DIRECTOR] Web Corner – Discussion and possible action to approve The Web Corner Inc. service agreement of up to \$2500 for Web hosting and support in fiscal year 2025-2026.

13.9. [Funding] [DIRECTOR] Mailchimp – Discussion and possible action to approve Mailchimp service agreement of up to \$1000 for communication and support in fiscal year 2025-2026.

14. REPORTS

Officer Reports

- Charlene Samiley - Chair
- Jennifer Rafeedie - 1st Vice Chair
- Andrew Marton - 2nd Vice Chair
- Jakob Meuser - Secretary
- April Peterson - Treasurer

Zone Reports

- Zone 1 - Ian Blue
- Zone 2 – Emily Lin
- Zone 3 - Andrew Marton
- Zone 4 - Deborah Poppink
- Zone 5 – Delaram Ahmadyveasi

- Zone 6 - Aaron Vanek
- Zone 7 - Derl Clausen

Committee Reports

- Elections and Bylaws
- Outreach
- Public Health, Safety and Homelessness
- Planning and Land Use Management
- Transportation, Infrastructure and Sustainability
- Education Arts and Culture
- WRAC, WRAC Committees and Other Liaisons

15. ADJOURNMENT Charlene moves/Carolyn seconds to adjourn 9:13 PM

Public Input at Neighborhood Council Meetings – Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comments for Items NOT on This Agenda period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during this period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to 3 minutes per speaker, unless adjusted by the presiding officer of the Board. Use the Zoom Link and phone numbers listed at the top of this agenda.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting by contacting the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment by calling (213) 978-1551 or email: NCsupport@lacity.org

Public Posting of Agendas -

Neighborhood Council agendas are posted for public review as follows:

- Mar Vista Recreation Center: [11430 Woodbine St, Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)
- MarVista.org
- You can also receive our agendas via email by subscribing to L.A. City's [Early Notification System \(ENS\)](#)

Notice to Paid Representatives -

If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting, City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying. For assistance, please contact the Ethics Commission at (213) 978-1960 or ethics.commission@lacity.org

Public Access of Records -

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at our website, MarVista.org, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, they may be downloaded from our website; visit MarVista.org > [Council](#) > [Board](#).

Reconsideration and Grievance Process -

For information on MVCC's process for board action reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matters related to this Council, please consult the MVCC Bylaws. The Bylaws are available at our Board meetings and our website, MarVista.org.

Servicios de Traducción -

Si requiere servicios de traducción, favor de avisar al Concejo Vecinal 3 días de trabajo (72 horas) antes del evento. Por favor contacte a chair@marvista.org para avisar al Concejo Vecinal.

