

Motion asking Councilmember Mike Bonin to use City Council Rule 245(e) to exert authority over the development planned for 3709 Charnock (at Victoria) (DIR-2019-4277-DB).

Dear Councilmember Bonin:

The above referenced development has received a preliminary approval from the Director of Planning, without any of the communities' needs being taken into consideration by either the Developer or their representative (Matthew Hayden).

Chair and Co-Chair of the PLUM Committee of the Mar Vista Community Council met with Matthew Hayden before a presentation was made concerning the development at our PLUM Committee. Recommendations were made about the proposed height, parking, loss of RSO units that were NOT being replaced on a 1:1 basis in the development and articulation of the building that were sure to be issues involving the immediate neighborhood and stakeholders.

The development was presented to PLUM at the November 5, 2019 meeting. There were 44 immediately adjacent stakeholders that appeared at the meeting, and after voicing their opposition to a development that would be more than 3 stories taller than any other R-3 building on the street, was to be over 65' in height and is surrounded by R-1 residences; the development received a vote of OPPOSITION by a vote of 43 opposed, 1 abstention and 0 yes votes. At that time by the same vote, a Motion was made to ask your office to assert authority over this development if the Developer refused to return to discuss changes to the project such that the community could support it.

Despite assurances from the Developer's representative that he would respond within sixty (60) days with a response from the Developer, including any changes that the Developer would be willing to make, no such response has occurred, despite attempts by the PLUM Chair to communicate with the developer.

By a vote of (add vote) at the February 11, 2020 Mar Vista Community Council Board of Director's meeting, the Motion forwarded by PLUM to ask you assert jurisdiction over this project pursuant to City Council Rule 245(e) passed.

We look forward to working with you and the local stakeholders affected by this Development going forward as we work to get a development that will be mutually beneficial for all.

Sincerely,

Proposed Community Impact Statement in Opposition to Council File #19-1571, WLA TAMP Fee Exemption Ordinance:

The Mar Vista Community Council is OPPOSED to Councilmember Koretz's Ordinance contained in council file #19-1571, entitled "Land Use Entitlement Application/Building Permit Application/West Los Angeles Transportation Impact Assessment Fee (WLA TAMP Fee) Exemption/Ordinance" currently referred to the Transportation Committee.

This Ordinance specifically involves WLA TAMP fees that would be due and payable by the developer of a project at Palms and Sepulveda Blvds. which would include 409 apartments (389 at market rate) and substantial commercial space. The resulting traffic impacts are expected to be severe and the WLA TAMP fees are needed to mitigate the affects of such a large and dense project on the surrounding community. This project only provides the minimum amount of affordable housing to get a density increase and other incentives. There is no reason why such a development would also be subject to a benefit of not paying for the traffic affects that will result.

We urge the Transportation Committee and the entire City Council to vote NO on this Ordinance.

Mar Vista Community Council

cc: Los Angeles City Council, Councilmember Mike Bonin, Chair Of the Transportation Committee