



Mar Vista Community Council



Minutes

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

<http://www.marvista.org/minutes-and-agendas.php>

Tuesday, November 12, 2019, at 7:00pm

Mar Vista Recreation Center Auditorium
11430 Woodbine Street, Mar Vista, CA 90066

1. Call to order

- *The meeting was called to order at 7:00 PM.*

2. Presentation of flag and pledge of allegiance

-
- *Mary Hruska lead led the board and the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.*

3. Roll call – Call of the roll and certification of a quorum.

-
- **Attending (13):**
- Andrea Ambriz (*arrived at 7:11 PM*)
- Elliot Hanna
- Gabriel Hill
- Mary Hruska
- Selena Inouye
- Rob Kadota
- Michelle Krupkin (*arrived at 7:06 PM*)
- Martin Rubin
- Stacy Shure
- Armond Seretti
- Christine Stemar (*arrived at 7:02 PM*)
- Holly Tilson
- Kathryn Wheeler

4. Community Memorial Observations

-
- *None.*

5. Announcements

- **Kathryn Wheeler:** Said that starting next Thursday, November 21st, the MVCC Outreach Committee was beginning the process of creating a newsletter that would hopefully be ready by January or February. She said everyone was welcome to come participate in the process. In addition to regular standing outreach meetings they were working with the second vice chair to hold daytime meetings to allow more people to participate. Mid to late January was the target date to distribute the news to 26,000 homes and apartments in the Mar Vista area. She said to sign up for their mailing list to receive notice of the additional meetings or check the MVCC calendar on the website.
-
- **Selena Inouye:** Said the Transportation and Infrastructure (T&I) Committee meeting had been moved

to November 20th because of the upcoming townhalls for homelessness and public safety. It would be a joint meeting for T&I and the Great Street Committee.

-
- *Christine Stemar arrived at 7:02 PM.*
-
- **Rob Kadota:** Said he would be sending out the Santa Sleigh stops for pacific area shortly. He encouraged the community to look into it. He said the Winter Wonderland process was being revamped this year. It was now decentralized process and each SLO is doing something in their area. So the community could connect with their SLO about their local Winter Wonderland toy drive and giveaway.
-
- **Christine Stemar:** Said that the Public Health and Safety Committee meeting has been moved to November 21st. It would focus on the Neighborhood Watch and Block Captains. She encouraged anyone who was interested to attend the meeting. The meeting would be at 6pm at the Windward School.
 - **Wheeler:** Asked if that was the same night that the LAPD was having their block captain meeting. **Stemar:** Said that meeting was a week later.
 -

6. Public comment for items NOT on the agenda

-
- **Tom Ponton:** Said he had sent an email that day about sidewalks. They were trying to build a new community center on Centinela. But he realized that no matter what direction one tried to get to that community center, whether on foot or with a baby carriage or a wheelchair, there were no sidewalks on both sides – north, south, east or west. He thought those sidewalks needed to be added to the Mar Vista community plan, and they needed to look into funding sources. They needed it particularly on Charnock from Centinela to Mclaughlan. There were also no sidewalks on Palms from Grandview to Centinela. He said pedestrians currently had to walk in the busy streets. There is also a lot of new construction in the neighborhood, especially on Charnock and no one had put new sidewalks in. He encouraged the board to work on this.
-

7. Ex-parte communications and conflicts-of-interest - Each board member shall declare any ex-parte communications or conflicts-of-interest pertaining to items on or related to this agenda.

-
- *Michelle Krupkin arrived at 7:06 PM.*
-
- **Rob Kadota:** Had nothing to declare.
-
- **Selena Inouye:** Had nothing to declare.
-
- **Gabriel Hill:** Had nothing to declare.
-
- **Kathryn Wheeler:** Said she talked with the Chair, Vice Chair and Treasurer about agenda item 15.5 in her capacity as Outreach Chair. And she said she does not live within 500 of any address on the agenda.
-
- **Martin Rubin:** Said he had no conflicts of interest. He had conversations with Elliot Hanna, Holly Tilson, regarding the process.
-
- **Elliot Hanna:** Said he discussions with several people about the agendizing of items.
-
- **Michelle Krupkin:** Said she had conversations regarding Zone 5 issues and items for T&I and Great Streets agenda items.

-
- **Hruska:** Said she had conversations with board members on agenda items 12.1 and 15.5.
-
- **Christine Stemar:** Had nothing to declare.
-
- **Stacy Shure:** Said regarding agenda item 15.6 she had conversations with the Alliance for Sustainability, as she is a representative. Regarding 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 she spoke with LA City Council Members and staff, State Legislators, including Senator Skinner, and Stakeholders who have asked them to support RSO protections.
-
- **Armond Seretti:** Had nothing to declare.
-
- **Holly Tilson:** Said she talked with several board members about agenda items. She had no conflicts of interest.

8. Adoption of the agenda

-
- **Hanna:** Asked the board not to change the order of the agenda too much since some stakeholders come to the meetings later in the evening based on their interest in items listed later in the agenda.
-
- **Shure:** Objected to order. She said agenda items 15.6, 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 were not controversial but had not yet been voted upon because they did not have time to hear them in previous meetings.
-
- **Shure moved to hear agenda items 15.6, 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 before the Consent Calendar. Wheeler seconded. Without objection the items were moved.**
-
- **Wheeler:** Suggested moving the zone and committee reports to just before adjournment. **Hanna:** Said he would rather not and asked the board to be brief with their reports. He also said that Hannah Levien had advised him that she would be a bit late to the meeting and that he would like to give her time for her report when she arrived.
-
- *Andrea Ambriz arrived at 7:11 pm.*
-
- *Without objection the agenda as amended was adopted.*

9. Approval of minutes – Approval of the minutes from the September, 2019 and October, 2019 meetings of the Board of Directors.

-
- **Hruska:** Said she had been sent and made minor corrections to the minutes' grammar and wording.
-
- **Wheeler moved to adopt the minutes as amended. Stemar seconded. Without objection, the September 2019 and October 2019 minutes were adopted.**

10. Reports

10.1. Elected official and city department reports – Reports from any elected officials, their representatives, or representatives of city departments in attendance.

-
- **Hanna:** Asked if there were any representatives present to give reports. There were none so without objection the board continued to agenda item 11 with the understanding that they would return to item 10 when Hannah Levien arrived.

returning it

-
- *The board heard agenda items 10.4.1-10.4.6 then returned to item 10.1. when Hannah Levien arrived. After she gave her report they continued item 11.*
-
- **NOTE: For document structural purposes, the items have been left in their original agenda order.**
-
- **Hannah Levien, Field Deputy Mar Vista for Councilmember Mike Bonin’s office:** Said she had a few announcements:
 - – The townhall on homelessness was last week. A lot of valuable information was shared. She would be happy to talk with anybody who wants to have a conversation or other follow-up discussion about who's on the panel or anything else.
 - – The Public Safety Townhall was the next day at 6:30 PM at Daniel Webster Middle School. It was open to the public and all the crime information would be specific to Mar Vista. She brought flyers to the meeting. The panel was fully stacked with two Captains, two Commanders, the Deputy Police Chief of Operations West Bureau, two traffic officers, the SLO, a City Attorney, someone from the DA’s office and a fantastic moderator. The meeting would have the same format as the Homelessness Townhall. Questions would be submitted upon entry via question cards. They did not get through all the questions at the Homelessness Townhall, but staff is working on a FAQ based on those questions to share with the public.
 - **Shure:** Said that they had Stakeholders that were interested in going but were not sure if the information pertained to their area. She asked what the board should advise them to do. **Levien:** Said to advise them to attend the meeting because a lot of the same protocol in West Side Village applies to Mar Vista. And the Captains, West Traffic Division Officers and the Deputy Chief all cover that area because it is part of Pacific Division.
 - **Rubin:** Asked if it would be the same moderator. **Levien:** Said no. **Rubin:** Said the previous moderator was excellent.
 - **Tilson:** Asked if it started at 6:30 pm or 7 pm. **Levien:** Said the doors opened at 6:30 and the program started at 7 pm.
 - – Regarding agenda item 14.3, Rose Ave. Sidewalk Installation in Zone 6, she has been in touch with the LABOE and is trying to arrange something with the sidewalk rebate program, in which a homeowner pays upfront and the city reimburses them. She has tried to get better traction with the Department of Disability for that sidewalk because it is not technically passable. Unfortunately, BOE’s policy is that if one sidewalk is passable, then it is fine even if there are no curb cuts. This would not work for a lot of people, so she pursuing a couple of different routes on this issue.
 - **Tilson:** Said the Homeowners had not been not involved in this process. They have sidewalk on either side and a common driveway and **Levien:** Said she was looking into arranging the rebate first before approaching the homeowners. She is looking into different options because even though the Willet settlement provided the city hundreds of thousands of dollars to redo sidewalks, there are a bunch that being addressed first and have already had to go to the budget finance committee because people have sued about that sidewalk already. But, there are different ways to go about it.
 - **Shure:** Said she was not aware of any ordinance that would require homeowners to do those sidewalk and improvements at the time they

pulled permit for construction. She asked if Levien was aware of any such ordinance. **Levien** Said she was not, but would double check.

- – She was excited to see CISs from MVCC on the RSO buildings and renters rights. Bonin is pushing for the Department of City Planning to essentially get more accurate information on the rate of vacancies in the area which would in essence block people from demolishing RSO buildings. She thanked the board for their support.
- – She noted that Clean and Green had made it to the MVCC agenda. So, they will be working on that shortly.
- – She announced that she was leaving the CD 11 office. But she would be with the office until December 6th so she was available to work with the MVCC until then. She was moving to CD 2 where she would be a Policy Deputy. She thanked the board for working with her during her time at CD and said she had a lot of respect for them for volunteering hours of their time for their community.

-
- **Hruska:** Said last week an LAPD officer came to the meeting and gave out maps of the different SLOs. But, Hruska found them confusing, so she found a map with zones delineated clearly with car numbers which she handed out to the rest of the board. She said she would post the maps to the MVCC website as well.
-

- *The board moved on agenda item 11.*

○

10.2. Officer Reports

10.2.1. Chair – Elliot Hanna

-
- **Hanna:** Said he had submitted a written report. He said he had planned to limit comment on agenda items to one minute per speaker because he has expected a larger crowd. But now, he would not enforce that unless they needed to. He also said that it had come to his attention that sometimes board members have been texting while meeting have been going on. He asked them to refrain from doing so as that could create a Brown Act problem. The board also needed to declare if their property is within 500 feet of a proposed development rule for PLUM matters; it a state law so they have to be careful. And all board members must make sure their training is current.

10.2.2. 1st Vice-Chair – Marty Rubin

-
- **Rubin:** Said that they had committees and he would like people to get involved with committees so that they can streamline the board meetings.

10.2.3. 2nd Vice-Chair – Michelle Krupkin

-
- **Krupkin:** Said she had an update from Eloise Nelson from the Mar Vista Library. Ms Nelson said that the library did not have availability for reoccurring meetings for MVCC meeting during daytime hours due to the scheduling of library programs and meetings. Krupkin also said that several committee meetings had been moved to accommodate stakeholders due to the upcoming townhalls.
 - **Rubin:** Asked if the MV Library had a cancellation how that would play into scheduling.
 - **Krupkin:** Said that there were no daytime slots available at the library.

10.2.4. Secretary – Mary Hruska

●

- **Hruska:** Said her written report was in the agenda packet.

-

10.2.5. Treasurer – Holly Tilson

-

- **Tilson:** Said she had submitted a written report but that she would go through it for the attending audience. Neighborhood Councils are allocated \$42,000 a year and the MVCC also had a carryover from last year of \$7,535. Last year the board’s storage unit was burgled so they also expected to receive an insurance check of \$2,800 soon. When they receive the insurance check they will make a budget adjustment at a future meeting. There were three funding motions on the current agenda. One was an NPG for Richland Elementary for which she would need to move money into the NPG column. She looked at the numbers and they could move \$900 from the Farmer’s Market rental saving for a total of \$2,300 which would cover the NPG, plus little left over. There is also a motion for \$1,000 for door hangers which would already be covered in existing funds in outreach miscellaneous. And there is a motion for up to \$2,000 to replace video equipment that was stolen. She said the equipment wasn’t replaced immediately because they had no dedicated person to operate it. Rob Kadota had been doing it as Vice Chair but had stated that it was difficult to concentrate on the meeting and run the equipment at the same time. The several times they did use the equipment the footage was never uploaded and thus it disappeared when the equipment was stolen.

- **Kadota:** Said that she seemed to be discussing the merits of the motion. **Tilson:** Said she was giving the history. **Hanna:** Said that she should wait until the motion to get into those details.

-

10.3. Zone Director Reports

10.3.1. Zone 1 – Stacy Shure

-

- **Shure:** Said she would refer her report to next meeting since they had a full agenda for this meeting.

-

10.3.2. Zone 2 – Martin Rubin

-

- **Rubin:** Said that the North Westdale Neighborhood Association’s newsletter was available on a table in the back. It had information on Mike Bonin’s lively townhall on Homelessness that had taken place that past Wednesday and on the upcoming Public Safety townhall. He also had said the Santa Monica Airport runway removal project’s end date had been moved forward by a month. There have been complaints about the noise.

-

10.3.3. Zone 3 – Mary Hruska

-

- **Hruska:** Said she submitted a written report.

-

10.3.4. Zone 4 – Armond Seretti

-

- **Seretti:** Said several dozen people had contacted him about crime in the zone. There was an uptick in Zone 4 last month. There seems to be more property crime happening such as car thefts, burglaries, and car break ins. Also, the house next store to his home was robbed.

-

10.3.5. Zone 5 – Michelle Krupkin

-

- **Krupkin:** Said that Robinson Beautilities was closing on Venice Blvd after decades there and moving to culver where there is more parking. Crime was way up in Zone 5, especially burglaries. Also, according to the citizen app there have been three machete incidents on Grandview, Venice

and Centinela. In one case suspect was arrested. She emailed the SLO Hector Aceves but had not heard back. There is also a new workspace called Knotel on the border of Zone 5 and Culver City at the corner of Grandview and Washington Blvd where a thrift store used to be.

-
- **10.3.6. Zone 6 – Holly Tilson**
-

- **Tilson:** Said there was also an uptick in crime in Zone 6. There have been 14 sliding glass door break ins. A local parent is trying to organize needle clean ups because she is afraid for the children in the area. South of Venice there have been Ellis Act issues including evictions and losing RSOs.

- **Hanna:** Asked if Tilson had talked with the local parent about dangers of Hazmat. **Tilson:** Said yes. The city says do not touch anything and to call 311.

-
- **10.4. Committee Reports**

- **10.4.1. Elections & Bylaws**
-

- **Rubin:** Said he had submitted a written report. He said that the previous meeting was technically unofficial and violated the Brown act because it was in a different room than was listed in the agenda even though it was in the same school. The next meeting is on Wednesday the November 20th at 6 PM at the Windward school.
-
-

- **10.4.2. Planning and Land-Use Management**
-

- **Shure:** Said she submitted a written report. She noted that PLUM is now working with both Council offices. So, there are now lines of communication open regarding motions to do with report backs or ordinances.
-

- **Hruska:** Said that on Thursday, November 14th the LA Department of City Planning office was having one on one meetings with anyone who was interested. She encouraged people to participate. This was a good resource for explaining the complicated subject of land use. She said to check the website for open appointment times. The meetings would be at the Wellness Center on Centinela – the address would be on the on MVCC website.

- **Krupkin:** Said that there were hop in hours for groups from 4 PM to 7 PM for the City Planning event.
- **Tilson:** Said that the more than one person could attend the one-on-one meetings.
-

- **10.4.3. Public Health & Safety**
-

- **Stemar:** Said she had nothing to report. She encouraged the community to check the MVCC website for any other dates. They are also currently looking for Block Captains for Neighborhood Watch groups.
-

- **10.4.4. Education, Arts, and Culture**
-

- **Hill:** Said that the committee was working on coloring book. They are trying to get Venice High School to work with them on that project.

- **Hanna:** Said he thought the project was a great idea because he thought one of the major keys to outreach was kids.
-

- **Krupkin:** Said she would be attending the Education, Arts and Culture with an update from the artist of the hopscotch mosaic.

-
- **10.4.5. Transportation & Infrastructure**
-
- **Inouye:** Said the T&I Committee meeting had been moved to Wednesday, November 20th at 7:30 PM at the Windward School. They planned to continue their discussion of the mobility element of the Community Plan update.
 - **Krupkin:** Said that the November 20th T&I meeting would be a joint meeting with the Great Streets Committee.
-
- **10.4.6. Community Outreach**
-
- **Wheeler:** Said she had submitted a written report.
-
- **Ambriz:** Said that she wanted to make a comment regarding the previous E&B meeting's minutes. Her name was referenced so she wanted to provide clarity to the board in attendance about what happened, although she noted that maybe 5-7 of the board members were at the meeting. She said in the minutes there were assertions about conduct of the meeting. She disagreed with the characterization of comments made and the tone of the meeting. She said that those who have interacted with her know that she has a pretty calm way of speaking and tends to conduct herself in a very professional manner, particularly in public settings. She believed that the statements made by the E&B Committee were in that vein as well. At meeting itself the conducting of business was unfortunately not adhered to. It was also unfortunate that there were some mischaracterizations and pretty severe falsehoods included in the E&B Committee report. She would like to submit a letter of information on her behalf because her name was included in the report. She asked the Chair if she needed to make a motion to submit such a letter. She added that the public may want to comment on it.
 - **Hanna:** Said they were past the public comment portion of the agenda. **Ambriz:** Said they were still in Committee reports which offered the opportunity for the public to comment. **Hanna:** Said the public had the opportunity when Rubin gave the E&B report and they needed to move on. **Ambriz:** Said she did not believe he had offered the public an opportunity to comment at that point. **Hanna:** Said she was out of order. **Ambriz:** Said that this same situation happened at the E&B Committee meeting. She said she does adhere to order and is a parliamentarian who adhere to rules. **Hanna:** Said she was out of order and they had to move on. **Ambriz:** Said she was not out of order. She was making a comment to let the chair and the board members know that she will be submitting a letter of fact to accompany the minutes. **Hanna:** Said that was her right but it was not her right to obstruct proceedings.
 - **Kadota:** Asked Hanna how board members and the public should respond to falsehoods made in reports.
 - **Rubin:** *Point of order* – he thought it was appropriate that a chair recognized a speaker before the speaker speaks.
 - **Kadota:** Asked the chair how the public or board should respond to reports. **Hanna:** Said the public could comment when the agenda items were called, not after. **Kadota:** Asked if it could be done in writing or if it had to be at this meeting. **Hanna:** Said it could be submitted subsequently in writing.
 - **Seretti:** Said, in fairness to all parties, he did not remember public comment being asked for during the reports.
 - **Hanna:** Said that generally public comment is not called for in reports. But he said AMbriz and Kadota points were well taken, and they will accept written rebuttals.

The board returned to agenda item 10.1 when Hannah Levien arrived. After they heard her report they continued with item 11.

11. Special Orders

11.1. Presentation Regarding 12444 and 12575 Venice Blvd. – Informational presentation and update regarding the 12444 and 12575 Venice Blvd. development by representatives of Englander, Knabe & Allen.

-
- **Hanna:** Said that the following presentation was informational only, and there would be no vote involved. This was a curtesy update from the developer.
-
- **Tina Choi:** Said that she was there representing the new developers and owners of the property, Litera Developers. She was there with her associate Justin Fleming. They were there to give the community an overall overview and a summary of what the projects are. They had already met with Stacy Shure and other members of PLUM. Their client, Litera Developers, bought both properties from the previous developer Pamela Day, who had already entitled the properties. Regarding 12444 Venice, although they were not a part of Pamela Day’s original outreach meetings, when they were first looking into the property they learned that the height of the project was a large concern of the community. So, Litera spent months redesigning and reengineering the project to figure out how to stay within the envelope of the entitlement and keep the same number of market rate and affordable units. They were able to bring the proposed height from 83 feet to 66 feet and keep the same number of units. They eliminated a mezzanine level to do so. They also knew that parking was a concern of the community so they changed the design to provide surplus parking. 44 residential spaces and 8 retail parking spaces are required but they are providing 89 spaces total. They are far in the permanent plan check process, so timeline would be interior demolition in November, shoring in November/December, and excavation in January to March 2020. They have voluntarily hand distributed flyers last Thursday to the neighborhood with contact information for them in case the local residents have any questions or issues, per Shure’s suggestion. She said she would continue to keep the board and community updated on the project. **Shure:** Asked if they would termite treat the site. **Justin Fleming:** Said that the current developers had been doing that for the last 6 weeks and they had reports to back that up. **Choi:** Said they had already provided those reports to CD 11. They also added, per Shure’s suggestion, a panic and emergency “blue button” to the design. That is currently being hardwired. She asked if there were any questions about 12444 Venice before she moved on to **12575**
 - **Seretti:** Asked when soft demolition would begin. **Choi:** Said it was already in process. **Fleming:** Said the soft demo would take three weeks then they would do hard demo for two weeks. Shoring and grading would start after the Holidays in January. **Seretti:** Asked if the project schedule included Saturdays. **Fleming:** Said no. **Choi:** Said that they have transparent guidelines that they had shared with our general contractor, such as no workers on the streets, regulated hours of operations for the demo. There will be no road closures or sidewalk blockage. They have set up number and website in case there are any issues. Also, Hanna and Shure have her personal cell number. She encouraged them all to reach out if needed.
 - **Hill:** Asked how many units the building had. **Choi:** 77 units. **Hill:** Asked how many were affordable. **Choi:** 7. **Hill:** Asked if there could be more. **Choi:** Said they

inherited the entitlement so by the time they had it these were the set number of units.

- **Shure:** Said she would put the contact number on the website and email it to all of the board members.

○

- **Choi:** Gave a summary of the 12575 Venice project. This was also a Pamela Day project. The zoning for this property allowed for a higher height, so it is 56 feet tall. It will have 52 units, 5 for very low income. 26 parking spaces are required and they are providing 47 spaces. Both projects also have bike parking, as is required. When they purchased the property it was already entitled as a density bonus project. They have already demoed the site and shoring is complete. They are currently working on excavation. Foundation and concrete work began in October 2019 and will continue until March 2020. Framing will begin in the summer of 2020. They have told GC that there is no worker parking allowed on site. Had did have some issues with a demo contractor who was not as cognizant of some of the rules and regulations and commitments they made. There have been some incidences with demo workers parking on the street who didn't realize that they were not allowed to do that. They corrected that issue as soon as they were made aware of it.
 - **Shure:** Asked Choi to send her the haul routes for the projects. **Choi:** Said she would send them to the whole board. Only one of the projects required a haul route but for the other project they are also trying to keep the trucks off residential streets.
 - **Seretti:** Asked what the total duration of the project would be, start to finish. **Fleming:** Said 22 months total. But when the envelope is sealed, all the construction will be interior for the last 6-8 months. **Choi:** Said hardcore construction would be about 12 months total. **Seretti:** Asked if it was a type 3 code index. **Fleming:** Said yes it was.

●

- **Public Comment:**

●

- **Ken Alpern:** Said it was always good idea to keep in touch with developers. This was an example of developers that work with the community, as is often not the case. This was once one of the most acrimonious projects they've had in the last several years before it was sold to the never developers. He said it was important to recognize that the new developers have made the effort to work with the community. He hoped to keep hearing from them in the future and he thanked them.

●

11.2 Resignation from Emergency Preparation Subcommittee – Discussion and possible action regarding the resignation of Ken Frese as Co-Chair of the Emergency Preparation Subcommittee.

●

- **Hanna:** Said he had received an email a week ago from Ken Frese, who said he had to step down as Co-Chair of the Emergency Preparation Subcommittee for health reasons. Frese generously offered to continue helping with regard to preparation of agendas and so forth. Hanna said he was sorry to lose Frese, but that health must come first. He asked if there was any public comment before he accepted Frese's resignation.

●

- **Wheeler:** Said she had been to Emergency Preparation Subcommittee meeting and that

Ken Frese was excellent. She asked if Carl would still be co-chair. **Hanna:** Sid he believed Karl would remain Co-chiar. **Wheeler:** Said Carl was very good and recommended that more of the community come and participate in the meetings.

- - **Krupkin:** Said she was sorry to see Frese go. He is a great guy who has put in a lot of effort into that subcommittee.
 -
 - **Hanna:** Said, with regret, that the resignation was accepted.
- *Per the motion approved during agenda item 8, agenda items 15.6, 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 were heard before the Consent Calendar.*

12. Consent Calendar – *The Consent Calendar is reserved for items deemed to be routine and non-controversial. Any board member may pull an item or items for further discussion.*

-
- **Hanna:** Asked if there was any public comment on the Consent Calendar. There was not. He asked if the board if they would like to pull any Consent Calendar items.
-
- **Tilson** pulled 12.1 and 12.2.
-
- **Hanna:** Asked if there was any Board Comment on the remaining Consent Calendar items.
-
- **Hill:** Noted that there was a lot of outreach to Neighborhood and Homeowner associations. He asked if there was outreach being done to those who lived in apartments. **Wheeler:** Said these were ads in the associations’ newsletters which the MVCC did not distribute. **Hill:** Asked if there could be ads in apartment associations. **Wheeler:** Said yes, but those associations would have to approach her about it. **Kadota:** Said that many Neighborhood associations try to reach out to major apartment buildings, but it can be difficult. **Hanna:** Suggested that the Renters Engagement subcommittee work on this issue.
-
- **Ambriz:** Asked Wheeler if any of the ads would be in Spanish. **Wheeler:** Said that she did not know. The associations had not requested anything in Spanish. **Kadota:** Said he had not seen any newsletters translated. **Ambriz:** Suggested that in the future they could suggest and even provide Spanish translations to the associations. She would be happy to help with that. **Kadota:** Suggested a making Spanish translation of a stipulation of ad funding in the future. **Wheeler:** Said they were welcome to come to outreach meetings to ask these questions. **Kadota:** Suggested that when the associations request funding that the committee suggest that they make an effort to reach out to non-English speakers.
-
- **Inouye:** Said her only concern regarding the ads was that she did not see representation for Zones 1, 2, 5 and 6. This is probably because the associations in these areas are not meeting or may not have newsletters. But moving forward, she hoped they would do more outreach to these areas perhaps by some other mechanism.
-
- **Shure:** Said that the Neighborhood Associations in Zone 6 would soon be seeking ads and she thought that having Spanish translations was a good idea. There is an area in Zone 6 that has a serious need for Spanish language translations, particularly for those who lived in RSO buildings who the MVCC had been working with and for.

-
- **Wheeler:** Said that there is a background to this. The associations had a standing to get a certain amount of money from the MVCC. The city has changed their requirements, so this was an opportunity to distribute the funds to them. This was not outreach on her end. But the Outreach Committee is making strides in reaching out to Spanish speaking people.
-
- **Hanna:** Said that precedent was not necessarily a reason for funding. They always need to consider whether it still makes sense to provide funding.
-
- **Wheeler** moved to approve the remaining items on the Consent Calendar. **Rubin** seconded.
-
- VOTE:
-
- YES: Wheeler, Inouye, Hill, Ambriz, Rubin, Hruska, Tilson (7)
-
- ABSTAIN: Hanna, Stemar, Kadota, Shure, Seretti, Krupkin (6)
-
- *With 7 yes votes, the Consent Calendar was approved.*
-
- **12.1 [FUNDING][EACC] NPG for Richland Ave. School Booster Club – Possible action and discussion regarding a Neighborhood Purposes Grant (NPG) in the amount of \$1,654 to support its overnight field trip to Astro Camp.**
-
- **Hanna:** Asked Tilson if the paperwork for this NPG was in order. **Tilson:** Said it was.
-
- **Public Comment:**
-
- **Ken Alpern:** Said he had soft spot for Richland and that the MVCC had done a lot of outreach to help the school. But typically, MVCC’s outreach works better when they fund tangible things – things can have the MVCC logo on it, for example. He has often wondered if the students that benefit from their help could write out one or two lines about what the MVCC does. The whole purpose is not just to send students to camp but also outreach for the MVCC. They need to know that the MVCC exists and is helping.
-
- **Board Comment:**
-
- **Hill** moved to approve this item. **Ambriz** seconded.
-
- **Inouye:** Asked if the NPG come through committee. Also, she agreed with Alpern in that it did not seem they could do outreach for the MVCC with this. **Hanna:** Said it came through the Education, Arts and Culture (EAC) committee.
-
- **Wheeler:** Said that this was a great idea and the funds would send 40-50 kids on a bus. She said she did not understand Inouye’s concerns. **Inouye:** Said usually when they distribute NPG funds there is an opportunity for the MVCC to do outreach at the event but she did not see such an opportunity with this NPG. **Wheeler:** Said she would work on that.
-

- **Tilson:** Said she attended the EAC meeting to learn about this NPG. She suggested that there should be more information on this program in the NPG. There are Title I funds and she believed the “public benefit statement” wasn’t truly a public benefit since the funds would only benefit the 40-something kids that may go on the trip. She personally thought that this would result in using tax dollars more as a gift than a public benefit. She had spoken to chair months ago about how committee should come up with an equal distribution of funds to offer to schools and that they can decide how best to use those funds. She felt that the public benefit of this NPG was weak. She contacted the city but they never responded and she is sure the city would approve this NPG because it was just for one classroom. These funds are for Title I kids, not for whole school or this one project. The trip is also on instructional time and the CA Constitution guarantees a free education – so if it is on instructional time the school needs pay for it. She did not think asking another city entity for more tax dollars was appropriate.
- **Hill:** Said he was not caught up on this NPG at the last meeting but has since learned more. The kids have already raised around \$25,000 for this trip and this NPG was just the last push to pay for it. The students worked hard for this trip from his understand. He hoped they could find it in their hearts to help these young individuals.
-
- **Rubin:** Said that the school was in his zone and he has had a good relationship with them over the years and participated in their garden years ago. But, he thought this was a lot of money for a bus trip. Aside from that, he did not see the public benefit for all the schools in Mar Vista. They need to think about how to distribute funds in a way that helps all the schools in Mar Vista equally.
-
- **Krupkin:** Said she takes both Tilson’s point and Hill’s point. She wants to help kids, but the way this NPG is written, they cannot tell if this is helping kids of a lower income. It only takes 40-50 kids and it does not clarify which kids from what class are going. It does not seem fair to the whole school if only one class is going.
-
- **Shure:** Said it was her understanding that a select group was going on the trip and that not all the kids were even from the same class. At Clover Elementary the parents raised money to fund the same trip. Shure was concerned about the precedent that this could set as many schools send kids to this camp. It could open them up to similar requests from every school, which would be expensive. If it was for all of the students, she would say yes. But since it is a small subset, she did not think it was a good use of the money.
-
- **Hanna:** Said that they had options ranging from rejecting the item, to approving it as written, to amending it.
-
- **Tilson:** Said she would equate the idea of coming up with a program that could benefit all schools to the MVCC’s Safe Bike Rodeo – which is at one school one year, then at another school the next year. She also requested that the authors of the NPG come to the MVCC and speak to it. And she asked for the full cost of this, because it is an expensive camp so parents have to come up with money. She was not arguing that it is not a good program but the MVCC was being asked to provide for a one-way coach bus to the camp while the students and parents pay for the return ride home. She would like to see the EAC Committee come up with a program that equally distributes funds to all schools. From her point of view, it is up to the parents or the school to pay for something like this since it is on instructional time.
-

- **Hill:** Suggested reconsidering the motion back in the EAC Committee.
-
- **Hill** moved to commit the NPG back to Education, Arts and Culture Committee with instructions to bring it back to next MVCC meeting with more information. **Wheeler** seconded.
-
- Without objection, the item was committed to the Education, Arts and Culture Committee
-
- **12.2 [ADMINISTRATIVE][ExFin] Revisions to FY2019-2020 Budget** – Possible action and discussion regarding revisions to the FY2019-2020 budget.
-
- **Tilson:** Said sending this motion back would postpone most revisions. \$1,000 for door knockers is already in the budget. They should be getting the insurance check soon which would cover replacing equipment. If they want equipment before then, they can move some money that has not been used around.
-
- **Rubin** moved to postpone the item. **Wheeler** seconded.
-
- Without objection the item was postponed.
-
- **12.3 [ADMINISTRATIVE][ExFin] Approval of Monthly Expenditure Report** – Possible action and discussion regarding approval of the October, 2019 Monthly Expenditure Report.
- **12.4 [ADMINISTRATIVE][OUTREACH] Ads in the Mar Vista Neighborhood Association (MVNA) Newsletters** – Approval of the design for ads to be run in the upcoming MVNA newsletters.
- **12.5 [ADMINISTRATIVE][OUTREACH] Ads in the Hilltop Neighbors' Association Newsletters** – Approval of the design for ads to be run in the upcoming Hilltop Neighbors' Association newsletters.
- **12.6 [ADMINISTRATIVE][OUTREACH] Ads in the Westdale Homeowners' Association Newsletters** – Approval of the design for ads to be run in the upcoming Westdale Homeowners' Association newsletters.
- **12.7 [ADMINISTRATIVE][OUTREACH] Boilerplate for Outreach Ads** – Approval of the design for boilerplate ads to be run in situations where funding for such has been approved.

13. Excluded Consent Items – Discussion and further action on items excluded from the Consent Calendar.

Items 12.1 and 12.2 were excluded. They have been left in their original agenda order for document structural purposes.

14. Unfinished Business and General Orders

14.1. [ADMINISTRATIVE][ExFin] Approval of Policy Regarding Use of MVCC Seal – Discussion and possible action regarding the use of the MVCC seal on promotional items for events where MVCC is a sponsor or contributor.

-
- **Hanna:** Said he got a call from the Art Walk representative. This year they did not appropriate money for it, but she was preparing printed material using the MVCC seal anyway. This got Hanna thinking that there should probably be a policy on the authorization of the use of the seal. His initial thought was that if they are contributing to an organization that within the funding motion they authorize the seal for that purpose.

-
- **Board Comment:**
-
- *Wheeler moved to send to this item back to the Election and Bylaws Committee for consideration. Rubin Seconded.*
-
- **Inouye:** Said that part of the discussion needs to be about what exactly their seal or logo is. At one point they were using two different seals. Now she is seeing a hybrid of the Mar Vista Palm Tree logo on the table clothes and the new logo with “Join Us” that was created but never approved by the whole board. So there needs a complete discussion about the whole issue.
-
- **Krupkin:** Agreed with Inouye. She said there should be just one official seal.
-
- *Without objection the item was committed to the new Election and Bylaw Committee meeting for further discussion.*
-

14.2 [POLICY][T&I] Centinela Ave. and National Blvd. Street-Sweeping Services in Zones 2, 3, and 6 – Discussion and possible motion requesting that CD11 assign the “Clean and Green Team” to clean up Centinela Ave. between Palms Blvd. and National Blvd. in Zones 3 and 6, as well as National Blvd. from Bundy Dr. to Federal Ave. in Zones 2 and 3 until regular street-sweeping service can be established.

-
- **Krupkin:** Said she deferred to Inouye and Hruska on this item.
-
- **Hruska:** Said that this started when a stakeholder brought this situation to her attention. The stretch of Centinela between Palms and National is highly overgrown and not on a street cleaning route. The Department of Sanitation gets to it when they can. So, they started the process of looking into what to do about it, such as possible getting it on a street sweeping route. They have been working on it with CD 11 and the Clean and Green teams.
-
- **Inouye:** After they put this motion on the agenda they got an email from a stakeholder in Zone 2 who informed them that there is not a regular street sweeping route on National from Bundy Dr to Federal Ave. So, they added National to the motion.
-
- **Hanna:** Asking if this was just asking the City to establish there street sweep routes. **Inouye:** And to use the Green Team as a stop gap until that happens.
-
- **Krupkin:** Said that the motion was originally written in June-August in 2018. It was on the MVCC Agenda for August 14th, 2018 and it passed 10 to 1. But a letter was never sent out. **Hanna:** Asked if they were basically amending something that was previously adopted. **Krupkin:** Said yes, they were.
-
- **Public Comment:**
-
- **Unidentified Stakeholder:** asked if they would mean they would post no-parking signs for the new route. **Krupkin:** Said yes. **Unidentified Stakeholder:** Asked if Clean and Green would be doing tree trimming. **Krupkin:** Said she believed they would just sweep up the area. **Hannah Levien:** Said they can do both.

-
- **Another Unidentified Stakeholder:** Asked if a survey was done for the people on national on whether they actually want street cleaning on their street. Some people hate having to move their cars, for example
-
- **Inouye:** Said that the email they received included pictures of stone pine trees in and along the gutter and where people are parking, as well as trash and pine needles blocking the the storm drain. So she was thinking people would like that cleaned up, but they can obviously send it back to the Zone 2 director to see about a survey. As far as establishing a permanent street sweeping route, she asked Hannah Levien if a notice would go out to residents or if that was even possible. **Hannah Levien:** Said she would speak with Inouye about that after the meeting, because that process was very complicated. Also, the department for storm drain cleaning is different from the Clean Green team, which can clean things up as a one off.
-
- **Tilson:** Said she thought Zone 6 got included in this because from Palms to National (maybe even just Rose to National) it is full of leaves and trash, so the Green Team could help with that. She felt that street sweepers should only be on major street and on side streets that homeowners and apartments should do the clean-up themselves. But tourists and visitors can see all the trash on the major streets, and making them clean would be a better presentation.
-
- **Wheeler:** Said her street has street cleaning periodically, but they did have not signs. She asked if there needed to be signs. **Hannah Levien:** Said regular routes are required to have signs. And the city won't sweep a street without giving notice typically because they want the street cleared of cars.
-
- **Rubin:** Said the stone pines on National shed a lot and the pines accumulates. There are a lot of apartment buildings on National. In Zone 2 one side of National is swept, but other side of the street, in Zone 6, does not get swept. But both sides should be swept. He suggested sweeps once a month instead of once a week. It is important for major streets to get swept.
-
- **Hruska moved to approve this item. Inouye seconded**
-
- **Wheeler objected to the motion.**
-
- VOTE:
-
- YES: Inouye, Hill, Rubin, Krupkin, Hruska, Stemar, Seretti, Tilson (8)
-
- NO: Wheeler (1)
-
- ABSTAIN: Hanna, Ambriz, Kadota, Shure (4)
-
- *With 8 yes votes, the item was approved.*
-

14.3 [POLICY][T&I] Rose Ave. Sidewalk Installation in Zone 6 – Discussion and possible amendment to a previously-passed motion regarding the installation of a sidewalk on the South side of Rose Ave. between S. Centinela Ave. and Colonial Ave. in Zone 6. Amendment:
The MVCC also supports CD11 using WLA TIMP funds for this project.

-
- **Inouye:** Said that the board passed a version of this back on 8/13/19. Since then, they have discovered that for Westside Fast Forward program that CM Bonin is doing, he is accessing the West Los Angeles Traffic Improvement and Mitigation program developer fees that are being collected. west LA traffic dev fees. On October 2nd in Committee they had a conversation with Alex Bartrosouf and they asked if those fees could be used put in a new sidewalk. He said they could ask for this and for funds for other improvements but they must be judicious and prioritize their list because they cannot all be paid by those funds. So this is an amended motion asking CD 11 to consider using those funds for this specific project.

-
- **Public Comment:**

-
- **Hannah Levien:** She said they should definitely consider this route, but wanted them to be aware that the main purpose of those funds are for major corridor projects that would benefit a lot more people. She is working with BOE on different options for this project. If it were her, she would not prioritize this on their list of projects because there are many curb cuts and major boulevards in Mar Vista that need work outside of Venice Blvd. BOE also has a bunch of residential sidewalk programs that may be better options.
 - **Inouye:** To clarify, she said this prioritized because it is near a bus stop on Centinella Ave.

-
- **Ken Alpern:** Said that this motion was a good start, similar to the alleyways they have talked about in the past. It can be hard to figure out where to start, but you have to start somewhere.

-
- **Board Comment:**

-
- *Rubin moved to approve the item. Inouye Seconded.*

-
- **Tilson:** Said that this 120 feet of sidewalk was brought to them by a Zone 6 resident who had tried for 8 months on his own and had been bounced around from every department, with no success. At the congress of Neighborhoods Tilson she talked to every city department and they all recommended talking to CD 11. It is uphill, it has manholes, it is a trip hazard – it’s a lawsuit waiting to happen. Plus, both bus lines are on that side of the street. There is no place for people to walk in the street and there are two full lanes of traffic. Their only option is to walk on the other side of the street with a sidewalk but the cut through traffic makes crossing dangerous. The resident who brought it forward is in their 80s.

-
- **Krupkin:** Said she supported the Zone 6 director for bringing this to their attention. She said it would be great to have more access to public transit via this sidewalk.

-
- **Ambriz:** Said she recognized safety and mobility concerns here. She recalled that at previous T&I meetings they discussed getting support from the neighborhood, especially the homeowner adjacent to the proposed sidewalk and she thought they should still pursue that support.

-
- *Without objection the item was approved.*

14.4 [POLICY][T&I][Great Streets] Timely Updates from Mar Vista Art Walk/Green Communications Initiative – Discussion and possible motion requesting regular and timely updates from a staff member of the Mar Vista Art Walk/Green Communications Initiative

regarding MVCC-funded items and all related events taking place on Great Streets Venice Blvd.

-
- **Krupkin:** Said that since the inception of the Great Streets Committee in 2014 an Art Walk staff member attended meetings and discussed creating an art zone and Art Walk for Mar Vista. Included in the agenda is a brought hand-out they brought to a meeting in September 2015 discussing the challenges of the area and potential locations for an Art Walk. They approached the committee with the idea that an Art Walk would be an opportunity reach out to stakeholders and to build community. As the MVCC continues to reach out to stakeholders it is essential to know what possible opportunities there are for more community involvement. There has been a huge gap since the Great Streets Committee has received any updates from GCI or Art Walk. Stakeholders have asked for more notice about events such as the pop-up block party – which many did not know was happening. So, this motion asks for a regular and timely update from Art Walk staff members regarding MVCC funded items and events taking place on Great Streets Venice Blvd.
-
- **Board Comment:**
-
- *Rubin moved to approve the item. Krupkin seconded.*
-
- **Seretti:** Asked what Art Walk events the MVCC funded for clarification. **Krupkin:** Said she would refer to the treasurer, but in prior fiscal years they had designated funding for printing matters. She said the section “*regarding MVCC-funded items*” was not in her original motion and was added later. It could be struck from the motion.
-
- **Hanna:** Said that when the MVCC allocates money the city expects for a report on how that money was used as a matter of accountability with public funds. He said he believed that was what Ms. Krupkin was asking for. **Krupkin:** Agreed and said that this would give outreach and other committees the opportunity to be move involved in events in advance. They could get students involved or assist with volunteer drives, etc. This would keep the lines of communication open.
-
- **Kadota:** Said the MVCC could send someone to the Art Walk’s board meeting instead of making them come to the MVCC. **Krupkin:** Said they used to come MVCC with monthly updates. And they also gave them option to submit a written report. **Hanna:** Said that when they receive money from the MVCC they receive it under the condition that they are going to give the MVCC project report. **Kadota:** Said that he did not know that a report was a stated expectation. **Tilson:** Said that since the new controller took over they update the rules every six months. But this has been expectation from the city for the last few years. Winter Wonderland turned in a report when she asked, for example. The city encourages them to submit after-reports for a complete budget. They want the MVCC to find out if the event is worth the money the city spent on it.
-
- **Inouye:** Said another aspect of this is that the Green Communications Initiative was involved in Great Streets Venice Boulevard projects like the pavement mural at the intersection of Pacific and Grandview. Many stakeholders have asked about the status of that project. So, it's not just related to funding but also related to their involvement Great

Streets Venice Boulevard.

-
- **Tilson:** Said they could eliminate language about funding. But she felt that with a major organization doing great and well attended community events it would be nice to get a formal report.

-
- **Ambriz** objected to the motion.

-
- VOTE:

-
- Yes: Inouye, Hill, Wheeler, Rubin, Krupkin, Hruska, Stemar, Shure, Seretti, Tilson (10)

-
- ABSTAIN: Kadota, Ambriz, Hanna (3)

-
- *With 10 yes votes the motion was approved.*

-
- **14.5. [POLICY][PLUM] Development at 3705 - 3709 S. McLaughlin Avenue [DIR-2019-4279] – Discussion and possible action regarding the development at 3705 – 3709 S. McLaughlin Ave.**

-
- **Shure** moved to postpone this item indefinitely. **Wheeler** seconded. Without objection the item was postponed indefinitely.

15. New Business

-
- **15.1. Stakeholder Motion Regarding Amended Bylaws – Discussion and possible action regarding a stakeholder motion requesting specific Bylaws amendments.**

-
- **Hanna:** Asked if there were any representatives that wanted to speak to the stakeholder motion, as the bylaws require that the chair give the backer of a stakeholder 10 minutes to speak. There were no such representatives present. He said that in the agenda packet there were a series of bylaws amendments that came from a series of stakeholders, who signed this motion. Such a thing has never happened before, but he determined that it was in order as the bylaws do give stakeholders the absolute right to put a motion on with 10 signatures. The bylaws further state that bylaw amendments require a 2/3rds vote to be approved.

-
- **Inouye:** Asked if the motion could be sent back to committee so there could be a discussion about the proposed changes. **Hanna:** Said that was an option.

-
- Public Comment:

-
- **Tedesco:** Said that the last B&E Committee meeting was chaos and he did not agree with the characterization of the meeting in its minutes. He said when he got to the meeting, 15 minutes late, Marty Rubin was already yelling at the crowd. He said if they want transparency and for people to come to meetings, then the people have to be heard – which did not happen at the last meeting. 30 people wasted 2 hours of their time, which was regrettable.

-
- **Ken Alpern:** Said was not at the previous B&E meeting. He said that unless there was a time

issue on this motion, the MVCC should consider sending it back to the committee. Ideally next time things would be more prepared and organized, with more light than heat. He asked if the board was prepared and informed enough to vote on this now. If not, they should send it back to committee.

-
- **Cathy Peters:** Said she was at the last E&B meeting because she is involved with the community and the City. She could not even figure out what the meeting was about. It was very chaotic and she thought they needed a do-over.
-
- **Sabrina Keep:** Said that she found that Rubin was not happy that he did not have control of what he wanted. It was a situation where you can't always get what you want. It was unfair, to the people who were there to support something they wanted, for Rubin to act childish, to call them names such as a mob, a gang and clowns. It was very inappropriate.
-
- **Board Comment:**
-
- **Hanna:** He said he thought the stakeholders had a right to have their motion voted on. He did not think it would pass, but since the stakeholders submitted it he thought the MVCC should not send it back to committee or amend it – they should just vote on it.
-
- ***Hanna moved to approve the motion. Rubin seconded.***
-
- ***Kadota moved to send it back to the Elections and Bylaws Committee. Seretti seconded.***
-
- **Shure:** Said she did not understand how the motion worked. She asked if when stakeholders bring a motion directly to the board, could the MVCC even send it back to a committee.
Hanna: Said the MVCC could send it back.
-
- **Kadota:** Said his belief is that the stakeholders wanted it discussed, period. Discussing it in committee would be fine. There isn't a process for stakeholders to send amendments directly to a committee. There is only a process to send them to the board so that the board can send it to the committee.
-
- **Wheeler:** Said that the E&B Committee does have a procedure for these things to be brought to them. There is a form to fill out on the website which Rubin talked about at the last meeting. It has been available since Rubin has been chair and everyone got that form at the last meeting. **Kadota:** Said that the people at the meeting were not aware of such a form until the meeting.
-
- **Hanna:** Said personally he did not think it made sense to send it back to E&B because the people who signed this motion were at that meeting and made a conscious decision to bypass that process. He asked if there was any further comment before they voted on the motion.
-
- **Seretti:** Said it was his understanding that the previous meeting was not official in the first place. **Hanna:** Said it ended up being that way that due to a technical error in the agenda. **Seretti:** Said that it was only fair that appropriate committee to get a chance to hear the stakeholders motion and for the stakeholders to be there to present the motion. He was not

at the meeting, so he had no idea what they were voting on.

-
- **Inouye:** Said she did not see this stakeholder motion as a statement that the stakeholders don't want to talk further about this. She thought it was more an educational opportunity for them to let the MVCC know that community wants to give feedback. In her opinion, sending back to committee would be helpful to understand how best to vote on this. Currently, without a stakeholder presentation or discussion it was difficult for her to vote either way on this.
-
- **Rubin:** Said that this motion did not come to the E&B Committee, it came to the board. It was put into action before the E&B meeting, which was technically unofficial. As was stated, the committee has a process for reviewing bylaws and elections procedures. As chair, he felt that the forum was an excellent way to the stakeholder's thoughts about items in the bylaws. The E&B Committee is currently dealing with the current language, the proposed language, and the reasoning behind both. At the first 3 E&B meetings they developed a plan to put each thing in order to discuss, vote on and put in a file to be sent to the board. He thought it made more sense to hold off because certain items may affect other items. For this motion to be put through the bylaws committee it would have to be put into several different form as it addresses 9-10 different items. Each one would need to be considered separately. All ideas are welcome, but he did not know where it is written that it is appropriate for people at a meeting to be disrespectful to the chair trying to help the community. It is in his report.
-
- **Tilson:** Asked if the stakeholders needed to be come to a meeting to present stakeholder motions. **Hanna:** Said there was no obligation for stakeholders to be there. **Tilson:** Said in the first few meetings, they passed a bylaw amendment that she asked for – to divide Zone 6 and add a 7th zone for a renter-heavy very dense area south of Venice. When Kadota and her were co-chairs it was stated that previous boards wanted this amendment but never got around to. The zone would effective at next election cycle. They had brief discussions in committee about how they could do that. Someone got upset about Zone 7 and how they would rearrange the board to accommodate it, which is how they all got into this mess in the first place.
-
- **Hanna:** Said that the people who submitted the motion know what a stakeholders' motion is and how it works. It was extremely difficult for him to believe that they wanted it to come here first only for it to be sent back to the E&B Committee. He did not believe that was their intent.
-
- **Ambriz:** Said that should this be sent back she wanted ensure that it will be added to the agenda, heard in committee and commented on by the public. While the form to request to changes to the bylaws does exist, she did not see an official rule to consider such a request. Considering that the comment that all of these changes require multiple forms, she imagined the intent of the stakeholders may have been to aggregate all of these comments in lieu of complaining.
-
-
- Vote to Commit the Motion back to the Elections & Bylaws Committee:
-

- YES: Inouye, Krupkin, Hruska (3)
-
- NO: Tilson, Shure, Seretti, Kadota, Wheeler, Stemar, Rubin, Hill, Hanna, Ambriz (10)
-
- *With 10 no votes, the motion was not committed back to the Elections & Bylaws Committee*
-
- Vote on Stakeholder Motion:
-
- NO: Inouye, Wheeler, Rubin, Krupkin, Stemar, Hruska, Hanna, Shure, Seretti, Tilson (10)
-
- ABSTAIN: Kadota, Ambriz, Hill (3)
-
- *With 10 no votes, the Stakeholder motion was not approved.*
-
- *At 9:33 pm the meeting was adjourned. Items 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, 15.5, 15.8, 15.9, 15.10, 15.11, and 15.12 were not heard.*
-
- 15.2 **[FUNDING][OUTREACH] – Outreach Door Hangars** – Discussion and possible action regarding an expenditure - not to exceed \$1,000 - for the designing, printing, and distributing of Outreach Door Hangers.
- 15.3 **[ADMINISTRATIVE][INOUE]** – **Neighborhood Council Policies and Procedures Manual** – Discussion and possible action regarding a request to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment and the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners to provide a Neighborhood Council Policies and Procedures manual to all Los Angeles Neighborhood Councils.
- 15.4 **[POLICY][PLUM][COMMUNITY PLAN] – Co-Living Arrangements** – Discussion and possible action regarding suggested requirements for co-living projects in Mar Vista.
- 15.5 **[POLICY][PLUM][COMMUNITY PLAN] – Venice Blvd. Survey** – Discussion and possible action regarding a survey to be distributed regarding Venice. Blvd. as part of the Community update process.
- 15.6 **[POLICY][PLUM] – Construction of a Gas-Fired Power Plant in Utah** – Discussion and possible action regarding MVCC sign-on to a letter to the Mayor asking that he pause plans for construction of a gas-fired power plant in Utah.
-
- **Shure**: Said there was letter in the agenda packet for the Mayor of LA. The mayor was currently in negotiations to open a gas-fired power plant, Utah, which caught everybody by surprise since the City of LA is closing all its gas-fired power plants. The letter asks him to consider alternatives including solar power. In order to add the MVCC to the letter as one of the organizations asking him to consider this, they need to pass this motion.
-
- **Hruska** moved to approve this item. **Rubin** second.
-
- VOTE:
-
- YES: Inouye, Hill, Rubin, Stemar, Hruska, Shure (6)
-
- NO: Tilson (1)
-
- ABSTAIN: Ambriz, Hanna, Kadota, Krupkin, Seretti, Wheeler (6)

-
- *With 6 yes votes, the motion passed.*
-
- 15.7 **[POLICY][PLUM] – CIS in Support of RSO Tenants**– *Discussion and possible action regarding a CIS in support of a motion by Councilmembers Bonin & Koretz supporting L.A.M.C. 12.95.2 (f)(6) protections for RSO tenants when the vacancy rate is below 5%.*
-
- *Shure asked to hear and vote on items 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10 together. Without objection the items were heard and voted on together.*
-
- **Shure:** Said that 15.7 was a motion made by CM Bonin in support of the Mitchell Avenue tenants and all those who live in RSOs in the MVCC’s planning area. It asks for a report back from the Department of Planning containing information that would allow the creation of an ordinance that would protect these tenants. She thanked CM Bonin for his efforts on this. 15.8 was support for another report to find out what is allowable under the new state laws that would allow them to create an ordinance to protect those who are in apartments that were created post 1978. 15.9 is support for a City Council motion for a report to find out if they are paying people enough based upon what the comparable cost of apartment housing would be when they relocate and also whether or not they are creating enough affordable housing to replace what they were currently losing. 15.10 is in support of another motion to create and maintain a tracking system concerning TIC/RSO displacement of tenants.
-
- *Wheeler moved to approve items 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 and 15.10. Hruska seconded. Without objection, the motion was approved.*
-
- *After these items were approved, the board returned to agenda item 12, the Consent Calendar.*
-
- 15.8 **[POLICY][PLUM] – CIS in Support of Report on Statewide Tenant Protections** – *Discussion and possible action regarding a CIS in support of a City Council motion to report back on statewide tenant protections in non-RSO units constructed post-1978.*
- 15.9 **[POLICY][PLUM] – CIS in Support of Tenant Relocation Assistance, etc.**– *Discussion and possible action regarding a CIS in support of a City Council motion regarding tenant relocation assistance, cost of comparable housing, and increased affordable housing replacement.*
- 15.10 **[POLICY][PLUM] – CIS in Support of Tenant Displacement**– *Discussion and possible action regarding a CIS in support of a City Council motion to create and maintain a tracking system concerning TIC/RSO displacement of tenants.*

15.11 [ADMINISTRATIVE][INOUE] – Civic University (CivicU©) in Mar Vista – Discussion and possible action regarding a request to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment to bring Civic University (Civic U©) to Mar Vista to conduct a mock Board meeting as a training opportunity for the MVCC and other local NCs.

<https://calstatela.patbrowninstitute.org/what-we-do/civic-university-2/>

15.12 [FUNDING][AMBRIZ] – Replacement Video Equipment – Discussion and possible action regarding an expenditure - not to exceed \$2,000 - for replacement video equipment for use in broadcasting MVCC meetings.

16. **Adjournment**

Rubin moved to adjourn the meeting. *Hruska* seconded. Without objection the meeting was adjourned at 9:33 pm.